arXiv:1607.07813v2 [math.NT] 23 Aug 2016

EULER SYSTEMS FOR HILBERT MODULAR SURFACES

ANTONIO LEI, DAVID LOEFFLER, AND SARAH LIVIA ZERBES

Abstract. We construct an Euler system – a compatible family of global cohomology classes – for the Galois representations appearing in the geometry of Hilbert modular surfaces. If a conjecture of Beilinson on injectivity of regulator maps holds, this Euler system is non-trivial, and we deduce bounds towards the Iwasawa main conjecture for these Galois representations.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Setup and notations 3
3. Asai–Flach classes 8
4. Eigenforms and Galois representations 14
5. The complex regulator 17
6. Asai–Iwasawa classes 22
7. Norm relations 25
8. Cyclotomic twists 32
9. Iwasawa theory 33
References 39

1. Introduction

One of the central problems of number theory is the study of cohomology groups of global Galois representations, and the relation between these cohomology groups and the values of L-functions. A crucial tool in this study is the theory of *Euler systems*: collections of Galois cohomology classes for a given Galois representation over abelian extensions of the base field, satisfying compatibility conditions as the field changes. These have powerful applications to studying cohomology groups, and thus they are inevitably difficult to construct.

In the present paper, we construct Euler systems for a new class of Galois representations: the *Asai*, or *twisted tensor product*, Galois representations attached to Hilbert modular eigenforms over real quadratic fields. These are the Galois representations which appear in the middle-degree cohomology of Hilbert modular surfaces. More precisely, we shall prove the following:

Theorem A. *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a Hilbert modular eigenform over the real quadratic field F, of level $\mathfrak{N}$ and weights $(k+2, k'+2)$, with $k, k' \geq 0$ and $k = k' \bmod 2$; and let L be a finite extension of $\mathbf{Q}$ containing the Hecke eigenvalues of $\mathcal{F}$. Let v be a place of L*

Date: August 24, 2016.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11F41, 11F67, 11F80, 11R23.

Key words and phrases. Euler systems, Hilbert modular forms, Hilbert modular surfaces, Asai L-functions, Iwasawa theory.

The authors are grateful to acknowledge support from the following grants: NSERC Discovery Grants Program 05710 (Lei); Royal Society University Research Fellowship (Loeffler); ERC Consolidator Grant "Euler Systems and the Birch–Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture" (Zerbes).

above the rational prime $p \neq 2$, and let $M_{L_v}(\mathcal{F})$ be the Asai Galois representation of $\mathcal{F}$ at v. Let a be a generator of $\mathcal{O}_F/\mathbf{Z}$, and j an integer with $0 \leq j \leq \min(k, k')$.

Then there exists a collection of cohomology classes, the Asai–Flach classes*,*

$$\mathrm{AF}^{[\mathcal{F},j]}_{\text{ét},m,a} \in H^1\left(\mathbf{Z}\left[\mu_m, \tfrac{1}{mp\Delta\,\mathrm{Nm}_{F/\mathbf{Q}}(\mathfrak{N})}\right], M_{L_v}(\mathcal{F})^*(-j)\right),$$

for integers $m \geq 1$, which satisfy Euler-system-type norm relations as m varies.

See Definition 4.3.7 below for the definition of these classes, and Corollary 4.3.8 for the norm relation. This construction can be regarded as an analogue of previous work of the present authors and Guido Kings [LLZ14, KLZ15a, KLZ15b] in the setting of Rankin–Selberg convolutions of two elliptic modular forms.

Remark. In [Liu15], Liu uses Hirzebruch–Zagier cycles to construct a collection of global cohomology classes for the self-dual twist of $M_{L_v}(\mathcal{F})^* \otimes M_{L_v}(g)^*$, where $\mathcal{F}$ is a Hilbert modular form of parallel weight 2 and g is an elliptic modular form of weight 2. These cohomology classes stand in the same relation to the Euler system constructed in this paper as the cohomology classes arising from 'diagonal cycles' (constructed in [DR14]) do to the Euler system of Beilinson–Flach elements [LLZ14, KLZ15a, KLZ15b].

Using Kings' theory of Λ-adic sheaves, we can construct a "p-adic interpolation" of the above classes for varying j and m:

Theorem B. *Suppose $\mathcal{F}$ is ordinary at p (with respect to our choice of prime $v \mid p$ of L). Let $\Gamma = \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbf{Q}(\mu_{p^\infty})/\mathbf{Q})$, Λ_Γ its Iwasawa algebra, and $\mathbf{j} : \Gamma \to \Lambda_\Gamma^\times$ the canonical character. Let $m \geq 1$ be coprime to p, and $c > 1$ be coprime to $6pm\,\mathrm{Nm}(\mathfrak{N})$.*

Then there exists an Iwasawa cohomology class

$${}_c\mathcal{AF}^{\mathcal{F}}_{m,a} \in H^1\left(\mathbf{Z}\left[\mu_m, \tfrac{1}{mp\Delta\,\mathrm{Nm}_{F/\mathbf{Q}}(\mathfrak{N})}\right], M_{L_v}(\mathcal{F})^* \otimes \Lambda_\Gamma(-\mathbf{j})\right)$$

which interpolates the étale Asai–Flach classes $\mathrm{AF}^{[\mathcal{F},j]}_{\text{ét},mp^r,a}$, for all $0 \leq j \leq \min(k, k')$ and $r \geq 0$.

Moreover, the restriction of $M_{L_v}(\mathcal{F})^*$ to $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_p/\mathbf{Q}_p)$ has a canonical 1-dimensional unramified quotient, and the projection of the localisation $\mathrm{loc}_p\left({}_c\mathcal{AF}^{\mathcal{F}}_{m,a}\right)$ to this quotient is zero.

Our main application of this Euler system is (in some sense) a version of the Iwasawa main conjecture for the motive $M_{L_v}(\mathcal{F})$ over the cyclotomic tower. We assume that p is split in F, and we fix a prime $\mathfrak{p} \mid p$. Using Perrin-Riou's big logarithm map, we construct a "motivic p-adic L-function" ${}_cL^{\mathrm{imp}}_{\mathfrak{p},\mathrm{Asai}}(\mathcal{F}) \in L_v \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} \Lambda_\Gamma$ interpolating the Bloch–Kato logarithms of the Asai–Flach classes; and we define a dual Selmer group $X(\mathbf{Q}(\mu_{p^\infty}), \mathcal{F})$, which is a Λ_Γ-module of finite type. Then we prove the following theorem:

Theorem C. *Suppose the following conditions are satisfied:*

- *p is split in F, and $p \geq k + k' + 3$;*
- *the Galois representation $M_{L_v}(\mathcal{F})$ satisfies a "big image" condition;*
- *$\mathcal{F}$ is ordinary at p, and is the p-stabilisation of an eigenform of level coprime to p;*
- *the local Euler factor of $\mathcal{F}$ at p does not vanish at any p^{-j} for any $j \in \mathbf{Z}$.*

Then the characteristic ideal of the dual Selmer group $X(\mathbf{Q}(\mu_{p^\infty}), \mathcal{F})$ divides the p-adic L-function ${}_cL^{\mathrm{imp}}_{\mathfrak{p},\mathrm{Asai}}(\mathcal{F})$ in $L_v \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}_p} \Lambda_\Gamma$.

Sadly, this theorem is rather less powerful than it seems, since we have at present no analogue of the explicit reciprocity laws available in the Rankin–Selberg setting

(cf. [BDR15], [KLZ15b, Theorem B]); thus we cannot rule out the possibility that ${}_cL^{\mathrm{imp}}_{\mathfrak{p},\mathrm{Asai}}(\mathcal{F})$ is identically zero, in which case the above theorem is vacuous.

We give two results in support of our belief that the Euler system we construct is non-zero. The first is conditional on a conjecture in arithmetic geometry:

Theorem D. *Assume that the regulator map from motivic to étale cohomology is injective for the Kuga–Sato varieties over the Hilbert modular surface of level $\mathfrak{N}$ (as has been conjectured by Beilinson for general smooth varieties). If the local Euler factors of the Asai L-function $L_{\mathrm{Asai}}(\mathcal{F},s)$ at the primes $\ell \mid \mathrm{Nm}_{F/\mathbf{Q}}(\mathfrak{N})$ do not vanish*[1] *at $s=1+j$, then the class $\mathrm{AF}^{[\mathcal{F},j]}_{m,a}$ for $m=1$ is non-zero.*

See Proposition 5.5.2 below for details.

Our second piece of evidence for this assertion is a formula expressing the localisations of our étale classes at p in terms of overconvergent p-adic modular forms. This is joint work of the second and third authors with Chris Skinner, and is explained in [LSZ16].

Acknowledgement. The authors would like to thank Giuseppe Ancona, Henri Darmon, Mladen Dimitrov, Eyal Goren, Payman Kassaei, Guido Kings, Francesco Lemma and Chris Skinner for valuable conversations relating to the preparation of this paper.

2. Setup and notations

2.1. **Fields and groups.** Let F be a totally real field, with ring of integers $\mathcal{O}_F$, different $\mathfrak{d}$ and discriminant $\Delta = N_{F/\mathbf{Q}}(\mathfrak{d})$. Later in the paper we shall specialise to the case where F is the real quadratic field $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{d})$, for $d>1$ a square-free integer, but our initial discussion is valid for general F.

We write $\mathrm{Cl}^+(F)$ for the narrow class group of F (the group of non-zero fractional ideals of $\mathcal{O}_F$, modulo principal fractional ideals with a totally positive generator). We refer to ideals whose class in $\mathrm{Cl}^+(F)$ is trivial as *narrowly principal*.

Definition 2.1.1 (cf. [Dim05, §1])**.** *We define the algebraic groups*

$$D = \mathrm{Res}^F_{\mathbf{Q}}\mathbf{G}_m, \qquad G = \mathrm{Res}^F_{\mathbf{Q}}\mathrm{GL}_2, \qquad G^* = G\times_D \mathbf{G}_m.$$

There is a natural embedding $\iota : \mathrm{GL}_2 \hookrightarrow G^*$, which will be of great importance in the present paper. We let $G^*(\mathbf{R})^+$ and $G(\mathbf{R})^+$ be the subgroups of elements of positive (resp. totally-positive) determinant, and similarly for $G^*(\mathbf{Q})^+$ and $G(\mathbf{Q})^+$.

We define $\mathcal{H}_F$ to be the elements of $F\otimes\mathbf{C}$ of totally positive imaginary part, with its natural action of $G(\mathbf{R})^+$.

2.2. **Arithmetic quotients.** Let $\mathbf{A}$ be the adèle ring of $\mathbf{Q}$, and $\mathbf{A}_f$ be the subring of finite adeles.

Definition 2.2.1. *Let H be one of the three groups G, G^*, or GL_2. We say an open compact subgroup $U\subset H(\mathbf{A}_f)$ is* sufficiently small *if, for any $h\in H(\mathbf{A}_f)$, the quotient group*

$$\frac{H(\mathbf{Q})^+\cap hUh^{-1}}{U\cap\{\left(\begin{smallmatrix}u&0\\0&u\end{smallmatrix}\right) : u\in\mathcal{O}_F^{\times+}\}}$$

acts without fixed points on $\mathcal{H}_F$ (or $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{Q}}$ if $H=\mathrm{GL}_2$).

(The denominator is, of course, trivial if $H=\mathrm{GL}_2$ or $H=G^*$.)

[1] This is automatic if $j<\frac{k+k'}{2}-1$.

Definition 2.2.2. *For $U \subseteq G(\mathbf{A}_f)$ an open compact subgroup (respectively $U^* \subset G^*(\mathbf{A}_f)$, $U_{\mathbf{Q}} \subset \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{A}_f)$) we write*

$$\begin{aligned} Y(U) &\coloneqq G(\mathbf{Q})^+ \backslash [G(\mathbf{A}_f) \times \mathcal{H}_F] \,/\, U\,, \\ Y^*(U^*) &\coloneqq G^*(\mathbf{Q})^+ \backslash [G^*(\mathbf{A}_f) \times \mathcal{H}_F] \,/\, U^*\,, \\ Y_{\mathbf{Q}}(U_{\mathbf{Q}}) &\coloneqq \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Q})^+ \backslash [\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{A}_f) \times \mathcal{H}] \,/\, U_{\mathbf{Q}}\,, \end{aligned}$$

If U is sufficiently small, then the quotient $Y(U)$ is naturally the set of complex points of a smooth variety defined over $\mathbf{Q}$. The same holds for the varieties $Y^*(U^*)$, $Y_{\mathbf{Q}}(U_{\mathbf{Q}})$.

Remark 2.2.3. We shall mostly work with G^* rather than G, because the Shimura varieties $Y^*(U^*)$ for G^* are of PEL type. However, the Shimura varieties for G^* have the disadvantage of not having as rich a Hecke action as those for G.

For $g \in G(\mathbf{A}_f)$ we have a map

$$g : Y(U) \to Y(gUg^{-1}), \quad g \cdot [(h, \tau)] = [(hg^{-1}, \tau)],$$

which gives a left action of $G(\mathbf{A}_f)$ on the inverse system of varieties $Y \coloneqq \{Y(U) : U \subset G(\mathbf{A}_f) \text{ open compact}\}$ for varying U, compatible with the usual left action of $G(\mathbf{Q})^+ \subset G(\mathbf{A}_f)$ on $\mathcal{H}_F$. The same applies verbatim for $\mathrm{GL}_2/\mathbf{Q}$, and for G^*. In fact the action of G^* can be extended to a slightly larger group:

Definition 2.2.4. *We let $\mathcal{G}$ denote the subgroup $G(\mathbf{Q})^+ G^*(\mathbf{A}_f) \subseteq G(\mathbf{A}_f)$.*

Then there are bijections $Y^*(U^*) = G(\mathbf{Q})^+ \backslash [\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{H}_F] \,/\, U^*$ for each U^*, and we therefore obtain maps of $\mathbf{Q}$-varieties $Y^*(U^*) \to Y^*(gU^*g^{-1})$ for any $g \in \mathcal{G}$, which assemble into a left action of $\mathcal{G}$ on the pro-variety Y^*.

Proposition 2.2.5. *If $U^* = U \cap G^*$, then there is a natural map $Y^*(U^*) \to Y(U)$, and its fibres are the orbits for an action of the finite group*

$$\frac{\mathcal{G} \cap U}{U^* \cdot (Z(\mathcal{G}) \cap U)} \cong \frac{\mathcal{O}_F^{\times +} \cap \left(\hat{\mathbf{Z}}^\times \cdot \det(U)\right)}{\left\{\epsilon^2 : \epsilon \in \mathcal{O}_F^\times, \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \epsilon & 0 \\ 0 & \epsilon \end{smallmatrix}\right) \in U\right\}}$$

on $Y^*(U^*)$. The subgroup stabilising each component of $Y^*(U^*)$ is $\mathcal{O}_F^{\times +} \cap \det(U)$. □

2.3. **Congruence subgroups.** Let us now define the specific level groups U in which we are interested.

Definition 2.3.1. *Let $\mathfrak{M}, \mathfrak{N}, \mathfrak{a}$ be non-zero ideals of $\mathcal{O}_F$. We define open compact subgroups of $G(\mathbf{A}_f)$ by*

$$\begin{aligned} U(\mathfrak{M}, \mathfrak{N}) &\coloneqq \left\{\gamma \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_F) : \gamma = 1 \bmod \begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{M} & \mathfrak{M} \\ \mathfrak{N} & \mathfrak{N} \end{pmatrix}\right\}, \\ U(\mathfrak{M}(\mathfrak{a}), \mathfrak{N}) &\coloneqq \left\{\gamma : \gamma = 1 \bmod \begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{M} & \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{M} \\ \mathfrak{N} & \mathfrak{N} \end{pmatrix}\right\}, \\ U(\mathfrak{M}, \mathfrak{N}(\mathfrak{a})) &\coloneqq \left\{\gamma : \gamma = 1 \bmod \begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{M} & \mathfrak{M} \\ \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{N} & \mathfrak{N} \end{pmatrix}\right\} \end{aligned}$$

We write $U^*(\mathfrak{M}, \mathfrak{N})$ for $U(\mathfrak{M}, \mathfrak{N}) \cap G^*$ (and similarly $U^*(\mathfrak{M}, \mathfrak{N}(\mathfrak{a}))$ etc.) We shall often abbreviate $U(1, \mathfrak{N})$ as $U_1(\mathfrak{N})$.

Similarly, for M, N, A non-zero integers, we write $U_{\mathbf{Q}}(M, N)$, $U_{\mathbf{Q}}(M, N(A))$, $U_{\mathbf{Q}}(M(A), N)$ for the analogous subgroups of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{A}_f)$.

Notation 2.3.2. *We adopt the general notation scheme that if $U(-)$ is some subgroup of G, then $Y(-)$ denotes $Y(U(-))$, and similarly if $U^*(-)$ is a subgroup of G^*. Thus $Y_1(\mathfrak{N})$, $Y_1^*(\mathfrak{N})$, $Y_{1,\mathbf{Q}}(N)$ are shorthand notations for $Y(U_1(\mathfrak{N}))$, $Y^*(U_1^*(\mathfrak{N}))$, $Y_{1,\mathbf{Q}}(U_{1,\mathbf{Q}}(N))$ respectively.*

Remark 2.3.3. Note that if $\mathfrak{N}$ does not divide 2, 3, or Δ, then $U_1(\mathfrak{N})$ and $U_1^*(\mathfrak{N})$ are sufficiently small [Dim09, Lemma 2.1].

2.4. **The universal abelian variety.** As is well known, the Shimura varieties for G^* (of sufficiently small levels) are fine moduli spaces, classifying certain polarised abelian varieties with endomorphisms by $\mathcal{O}_F$. The representing objects can be described explicitly, as follows.

Definition 2.4.1.

(i) Let P be the subgroup of $\mathrm{Res}_{F/\mathbf{Q}}\mathrm{GL}_3$ consisting of matrices of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & r & s \\ 0 & a & b \\ 0 & c & d \end{pmatrix},$$

and let P^* be the subgroup with $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}\right) \in G^*$. We let $N \cong \mathrm{Res}_{F/\mathbf{Q}}\mathbf{A}^2$ be the unipotent radical of P and P^*.

(ii) Let $\mathbf{C}_F = F \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{C}$. Then we define a left action of $P(\mathbf{R})^+$ on the space $\mathcal{J}_F = \mathcal{H}_F \times \mathbf{C}_F$ via

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & r & s \\ 0 & a & b \\ 0 & c & d \end{pmatrix} \cdot (\tau, z) = \left(\frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d}, \frac{z + r\tau + s}{c\tau + d} \right).$$

(This corresponds to identifying $(\tau, z) \in \mathcal{J}_F$ with $[z : \tau : 1] \in \mathbf{P}^2(\mathbf{C}_F)$ and acting on this by left-multiplication.)

(iii) For $V^* \subseteq P^*(\mathbf{A}_f)$ open compact, we write

$$A(V^*) = P^*(\mathbf{Q})^+ \backslash \left(P^*(\mathbf{A}_f) \times \mathcal{J}_F\right) / V^*,$$

which is an example of a mixed Shimura variety*.*

Proposition 2.4.2. *If the image of V^* in $G^*(\mathbf{A}_f)$ is sufficiently small, the quotient $A(V^*)$ is the complex points of a quasiprojective algebraic variety over $\mathbf{Q}$; and the natural map $\mathcal{J} \to \mathcal{H}$ makes $A(V^*)$ into an abelian variety over $Y^*(U^*)$, where U^* is the image of V^* in G^*, with endomorphisms by an order in $\mathcal{O}_F$.*

Remark 2.4.3. If we define $\mathcal{P}$ to be the subgroup of $P(\mathbf{A}_f)$ with $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}\right) \in \mathcal{G}$, where $\mathcal{G}$ is as in Definition 2.2.4, then $P^*(\mathbf{A}_f) \subset \mathcal{P}$ and we have

$$A(V^*) = P(\mathbf{Q})^+ \backslash (\mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{J}_F)/V^*,$$

so that the action of $P^*(\mathbf{A}_f)$ on the system of varieties $A(V^*)$ for varying V^* naturally extends to an action of $\mathcal{P}$.

We are particularly interested in subgroups of the form

$$V^* = \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_F^2 \rtimes U^*,$$

where $U^* \subset G^*(\hat{\mathbf{Z}})$. The corresponding abelian varieties have endomorphisms by $\mathcal{O}_F$. We shall abuse notation slightly by writing $A(U^*)$ for $A(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_F^2 \rtimes U^*)$; it will always be assumed implicitly that U^* is small enough for this to be defined.

Lemma 2.4.4. *Let $g \in \mathcal{G} \cap M_{2\times 2}(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_F)$, and let $U^* \subset G^*(\mathbf{A}_f)$ be a sufficiently small open compact subgroup. Then g determines a $\mathcal{O}_F$-linear isogeny*

$$\Phi_g : A(U^*) \to g^* A(gU^*g^{-1})$$

of abelian varieties over $Y^*(U^*)$.

Proof. It is easily seen that there is a unique group homomorphism

$$w : (\mathbf{A}_f^\times \cdot F^{\times +}) \to F^{\times +}$$

which is the identity on $F^{\times +}$, and agrees with the inverse of the finite adele norm on $\mathbf{A}_f^\times$. Given $g \in \mathcal{G}$, we write $w(g) = w(\det g)$, and we define an element $\tilde{g} \in \mathcal{P}$ by $\tilde{g} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ & w(g)^{-1} \cdot g \end{pmatrix}$. Since w takes values in $F^{\times +}$, and scalar matrices in $F^\times$ act trivially on the pro-variety Y^*, the map

$$A(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_F^2 \rtimes U^*) \to A\left(\tilde{g} \cdot (\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_F^2 \rtimes U^*) \cdot \tilde{g}^{-1}\right) = A\left((\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_F^2 \cdot w(g)g^{-1}) \rtimes (gU^*g^{-1})\right)$$

induced by $\tilde{g}$ is compatible with the map $g : Y^*(U^*) \to Y^*(gU^*g^{-1})$. So the data of an isogeny $A(U^*) \to g^*A(gU^*g^{-1})$ over $Y^*(U^*)$ is equivalent to the data of an isogeny

$$A\left((\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_F^2 \cdot w(g)g^{-1}) \rtimes (gU^*g^{-1})\right) \to A(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_F^2 \rtimes gU^*g^{-1})$$

of abelian varieties over $Y^*(gU^*g^{-1})$. However, since g has matrix entries in $M_{2\times 2}(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_F)$, and $w(\det g)$ generates the same ideal of $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_F$ as $\det g$, the matrix $w(g)g^{-1}$ also has entries in $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_F$; thus $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_F^2 \cdot w(g)g^{-1} \subseteq \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_F^2$ and we may take the canonical degeneracy map between these varieties, which is evidently an $\mathcal{O}_F$-linear isogeny. □

Remark 2.4.5. One easily verifies that the isogenies Φ_g satisfy the obvious cocycle condition $\Phi_{g_1 g_2} = g_2^*(\Phi_{g_1}) \circ \Phi_{g_2}$ wherever both sides are defined. Thus the collection of abelian varieties $A(U^*)$, for varying $U^* \subseteq G^*(\hat{\mathbf{Z}})$, defines an abelian variety A over the pro-variety Y^* which is "$\mathcal{G}$-equivariant up to isogeny" (i.e. it is a $\mathcal{G}$-equivariant object in the category of abelian varieties over Y^* after tensoring all Hom-sets with $\mathbf{Q}$).

All of this goes through without any change, of course, with P replaced by the analogous subgroup $P_{\mathbf{Q}} \subset \mathrm{GL}_3/\mathbf{Q}$, and $\mathcal{J}_F$ with $\mathcal{J}_{\mathbf{Q}} = \mathcal{H} \times \mathbf{C}$; the mixed Shimura varieties $A_{\mathbf{Q}}(V_{\mathbf{Q}})$ are now elliptic curves over the bases $Y_{\mathbf{Q}}(U_{\mathbf{Q}})$.

2.5. **Hecke correspondences.** Since we have defined a left action of $G(\mathbf{A}_f)$ on the system of varieties $(Y(U))$, and of the subgroup $\mathcal{G} = G(\mathbf{Q})^+ G^*(\mathbf{A}_f)$ on the varieties $(Y^*(U^*))$, we may use this to define Hecke correspondences in the usual way.

Definition 2.5.1. *If U_1, U_2 are open compact subgroups of $G(\mathbf{A}_f)$, then for any double coset*

$$U_1 g U_2 \in U_1 \backslash G(\mathbf{A}_f) / U_2,$$

we write $[U_1 g U_2] : Y(U_2) \rightrightarrows Y(U_1)$ for the correspondence defined by the diagram of varieties

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & Y(U_2 \cap g^{-1}U_1 g) & \xrightarrow[\cong]{g} & Y(gU_2g^{-1} \cap U_1) \\ & \swarrow^{\mathrm{pr}} & & & \searrow^{\mathrm{pr}'} \\ Y(U_2) & & & & Y(U_1), \end{array}$$

and similarly for G^* or $\mathrm{GL}_2/\mathbf{Q}$.

Such a correspondence can act on cohomology via either contravariant or covariant functoriality: that is, we have maps $[U_1 g U_2]^* = \mathrm{pr}_* \circ g^* \circ (\mathrm{pr}')^*$ and $[U_1 g U_2]_* = (\mathrm{pr}')_* \circ g_* \circ \mathrm{pr}^*$.

We may extend this to the mixed Shimura varieties $A(U^*)$ using the isogenies Φ_g of the previous section, so that for $g \in \mathcal{G} \cap M_{2\times 2}(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_F)$ we have a correspondence $[U_1^* g U_2^*] : A(U_2^*) \rightrightarrows A(U_1^*)$, which is an isogeny of degree $\mathrm{Nm}_{F/\mathbf{Q}} w(g)$ on every

fibre. If $g = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & x \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ for $x \in \mathcal{O}_F$, the correspondence $[UgU]$ is just multiplication by U on the fibres.

In particular, we have the following operators on the cohomology of the varieties $Y^*(\mathfrak{M}, \mathfrak{N})$ and $A(\mathfrak{M}, \mathfrak{N})$, assuming for simplicity that $\mathfrak{M} \mid \mathfrak{N}$:

2.5a. *Diamond operators.* For $x \in (\mathcal{O}_F/\mathfrak{N})^\times$, we define $\langle x \rangle$ to be the automorphism of $Y^*(\mathfrak{M}, \mathfrak{N})$ given by the double coset of $\begin{pmatrix} x^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & x \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_F)$, for any lift of x to $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_F^\times$ (or, equivalently, the double coset of any $\gamma \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathcal{O}_F)$ congruent to this matrix modulo $\mathfrak{N}$). We shall always consider this to act via contravariant functoriality (since the covariant action of $\langle x \rangle$ is just the contravariant action of $\langle x^{-1} \rangle$).

2.5b. *Frobenius maps.* For $x \in (\mathbf{Z}/\mathbf{Z} \cap \mathfrak{M})^\times$, we define σ_x to be the automorphism of $Y^*(\mathfrak{M}, \mathfrak{N})$ given by the double coset of $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} x & \\ & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right) \in G^*(\hat{\mathbf{Z}})$.

2.5c. *The operators $R(x)$ and $R'(x)$.* For $x \in \mathcal{O}_F$, the double coset of $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} x & \\ & x \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ corresponds to the multiplication-by-x endomorphism $[x]$ of the abelian variety A. We write $R(x)$ and $R'(x)$ for the contravariant and covariant actions of this double coset (corresponding to pullback and pushforward by $[x]$ respectively).

2.5d. *The operators $T(x)$ and $T'(x)$.* For $x \in \mathcal{O}_F$ which is totally positive and square-free[2], we define the Hecke operators $T(x)$ and $T'(x)$ as the contravariant and covariant actions of the double coset $U^*(\mathfrak{M}, \mathfrak{N}) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & x \end{pmatrix} U^*(\mathfrak{M}, \mathfrak{N})$.

More generally, we may define $T(x)$ and $T'(x)$ for $x \in \mathcal{O}_{F,+}$, without assuming that x is square-free, using the formal sum of all double cosets contained in the set

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in M_{2\times 2}(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_F) : ad - bc \in x \cdot (1 + M\hat{\mathbf{Z}}), \\ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & x \end{pmatrix} \bmod \begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{M} & \mathfrak{M} \\ \mathfrak{N} & \mathfrak{N} \end{pmatrix}, a \text{ a unit mod } \mathfrak{N} \end{array} \right\},$$

where $M = \mathfrak{M} \cap \mathbf{Z}$ as usual. This set is clearly contained in $\mathscr{G}$, and it is left and right invariant under $U^*(\mathfrak{M}, \mathfrak{N})$.

Remark 2.5.2. If x is square-free, the action of $T(x)$ on the cohomology of $A(\mathfrak{M}, \mathfrak{N})$ is given by the composition of:

- pullback along the natural degeneracy map $A(\mathfrak{M}, \mathfrak{N}(x)) \to A(\mathfrak{M}, \mathfrak{N})$;
- pullback via the isomorphism $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & x \end{smallmatrix}\right) : Y(\mathfrak{M}(x), \mathfrak{N}) \to Y(\mathfrak{M}, \mathfrak{N}(x))$, which corresponds to $\tau \mapsto \tau/x$ on $\mathcal{H}_F$;
- pullback along the isogeny
$$\Phi_{\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & x \end{smallmatrix}\right)} : A(\mathfrak{M}(x), \mathfrak{N}) \to \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & x \end{smallmatrix}\right)^* A(\mathfrak{M}, \mathfrak{N}(x))$$
of abelian varieties over $Y(\mathfrak{M}(x), \mathfrak{N})$, which corresponds to $(\tau, z \bmod \mathcal{O}_F\tau + \mathcal{O}_F) \to (\tau, z \bmod \mathcal{O}_F\frac{\tau}{x} + \mathcal{O}_F)$ on $\mathcal{J}$;
- pushforward via the natural degeneracy map $A(\mathfrak{M}(x), \mathfrak{N}) \to A(\mathfrak{M}, \mathfrak{N})$.

The action of $T'(x)$ is exactly the dual of this (i.e. interchanging pullbacks and pushforwards). This is the natural analogue for G^* of Kato's description of the Hecke operators $T(\ell)$ for modular curves in [Kat04, §2.8, §4.8]. As remarked in §4.9.4 of *op.cit.*, the correspondences $T(x)$ and $T'(x)$ thus defined preserve the geometric connected components of $Y^*(\mathfrak{M}, \mathfrak{N})$. They do *not* commute with the action of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathcal{O}_F/\mathfrak{N})$ in the case $\mathfrak{M} = \mathfrak{N}$.

Lemma 2.5.3.

[2]That is, x is not divisible by the square of any nontrivial ideal (principal or otherwise)

(i) *If x and y are coprime then $T(xy) = T(x)T(y)$ and $T'(xy) = T'(x)T'(y)$.*
(ii) *If $u \in \mathcal{O}_F^{\times +}$, then $T(u)$ and $T'(u)$ are automorphisms, and $T'(u) = T(u)^{-1}$.*
(iii) *If $v \in \mathcal{O}_F^{\times}$, then $T(v^2) = \langle v \rangle R(v)$ and $T'(v^2) = \langle v^{-1} \rangle R'(v)$.*
(iv) *The group of automorphisms of $Y^*(\mathfrak{M}, \mathfrak{N})$ commuting with the natural map to $Y(\mathfrak{M}, \mathfrak{N})$ consists precisely of the operators $T(x)\sigma_x$, for $x \in \mathcal{O}_F^{\times +}$ such that x is congruent modulo $\mathfrak{M}$ to an element of $\mathbf{Z}$.* □

2.6. **The Asai Euler factor.** We now impose the assumption that $[F : \mathbf{Q}] = 2$. For a (rational) prime $\ell \nmid \Delta \cdot \mathrm{Nm}_{F/\mathbf{Q}}(\mathfrak{N})$, we define the following polynomial with coefficients in the Hecke algebra of level $U^*(\mathfrak{M}, \mathfrak{N})$ (where we continue to assume, as before, that $\mathfrak{M} \mid \mathfrak{N}$):

Definition 2.6.1. *The* Asai Euler factor *is the polynomial $P_\ell(X)$ defined as follows:*

- *If ℓ is inert, we set*
$$P_\ell(X) = \left(1 - T(\ell)X + \ell^2\langle \ell \rangle R(\ell)X^2\right)\left(1 - \ell^2\langle \ell \rangle R(\ell)X^2\right).$$
- *If ℓ is split, we set*

$$P_\ell(X) = 1 - T(\ell)X + \Big(T(\ell)^2 - T(\ell^2) - \ell^2\langle \ell \rangle R(\ell)\Big)X^2 - \ell^2\langle \ell \rangle R(\ell)T(\ell)X^3 + \ell^4\langle \ell^2 \rangle R(\ell)^2X^4.$$

We also write $P'_\ell(X)$ for the corresponding polynomial with $\langle x \rangle, T(x), R(x)$ replaced with $\langle x^{-1} \rangle, T'(x), R'(x)$ respectively.

This polynomial will appear in the norm relations for our Euler system; and we shall see later that its action on a Hilbert modular eigenform will give the local factor at ℓ of the Asai L-function (justifying the term "Asai Euler factor").

Remark 2.6.2. If ℓ is split and the primes above ℓ are narrowly principal, so we can write $\ell = \lambda\bar{\lambda}$ where $\lambda \in \mathcal{O}_F^+$, then the X^2 coefficient in $P_\ell(X)$ can also be written

$$\ell\langle \lambda \rangle R(\lambda)T(\bar{\lambda})^2 + \ell\langle \bar{\lambda} \rangle R(\bar{\lambda})T(\lambda)^2 - 2\ell^2\langle \ell \rangle R(\ell).$$

This latter formula will be used in the proofs of the norm relations, where we will always be assuming that the primes above ℓ are narrowly principal. However, the version using $T(\ell^2)$ is more general, and in particular it shows that the Hecke operators appearing in P_ℓ always lie in $G^*(\mathbf{A}_f)$, rather than the slightly larger group $\mathcal{G}$.

3. Asai–Flach classes

We now define a collection of motivic cohomology classes for Hilbert modular surfaces. We make no claim to originality here: this construction is fundamentally the same as that of [Kin98], although we express it in a slightly different language and setup in order to reinforce the similarities to the construction of [KLZ15a].

3.1. **Formalism of relative motives.** We begin by recalling the formalism of "relative motives" attached to families of varieties over a base; for more detail see [DM91].

3.1a. *Relative Chow motives.* Let k be a field of characteristic 0, and S a smooth, connected, quasi-projective k-variety. Then there exists a $\mathbf{Q}$-linear, pseudo-abelian tensor category $\mathrm{CHM}(S)_{\mathbf{Q}}$ of *relative Chow motives* over S, equipped with a contravariant functor

$$M : \mathrm{SmPr}(S) \to \mathrm{CHM}(S)_{\mathbf{Q}}.$$

Here $\mathrm{SmPr}(S)$ denotes the category of smooth projective S-schemes. Similarly, for any coefficient field L of characteristic 0 there is a category $\mathrm{CHM}(S)_L$, which coincides with the pseudo-abelian envelope of $L \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathrm{CHM}(S)_{\mathbf{Q}}$.

Remark 3.1.1. Concretely, an object of $\mathrm{CHM}(S)_L$ is given by a triple (X, p, n), where X is a smooth projective S-variety, $p \in \mathrm{CH}^{\dim(X/S)}(X \times_S X)$ is an idempotent, and $n \in \mathbf{Z}$. The Tate object is $(S, \mathrm{id}, 1)$.

3.1b. *Realisations.* It is well known that Weil cohomology theories, such as de Rham, Betti, or étale cohomology, give functors on the category $\mathrm{CHM}(\operatorname{Spec} k)_L$ (where L is the appropriate coefficient field for the Weil cohomology). These naturally take values in *graded* L-vector spaces, equipped with various extra structures depending on the choice of cohomology theory.

These have analogues in the relative setting, taking values in categories of sheaves on S with extra structure:

- if L is a p-adic field, the *p-adic étale realisation* from $\mathrm{CHM}(S)_L$ to lisse étale L-sheaves on S;
- if $k = \mathbf{R}$ or $\mathbf{C}$ and L is a subfield of $\mathbf{C}$, then the *Hodge realisation* from $\mathrm{CHM}(S)_L$ to the category of variations of pure L-Hodge structures on S.

(There are also realisations in de Rham cohomology, Betti cohomology, etc, but we shall not use these here.) If $\mathcal{F} \in \mathrm{Obj}(\mathrm{CHM}(S)_L)$, we write $\mathcal{F}_{\text{ét}}$, $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}}$, etc for its realisations in the appropriate cohomology theories. These are naturally graded objects: we have $\mathcal{F}_{\text{ét}} = \bigoplus_j \mathrm{Gr}^j \mathcal{F}_{\text{ét}}$, where $\mathrm{Gr}^j M(X)_{\text{ét}} = \mathcal{H}^i_{\text{ét}}(X/S)$ is the j-th relative étale cohomology sheaf of X/S, and similarly for the other realisation functors.

Remark 3.1.2. Note that the grading need not be concentrated in degrees ≥ 0: indeed, the realisations of the Tate motive over S are concentrated in degree -2.

Theorem 3.1.3 (Deninger–Murre, [DM91])**.** *If A/S is an abelian variety, there is a canonical decomposition in the category $\mathrm{CHM}(S)_{\mathbf{Q}}$,*

$$M(A) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{2\dim A} M^i(A),$$

such that, if $\mathcal{T}$ denotes any of the above realisations, $\mathrm{Gr}^j M^i(A)_{\mathcal{T}}$ is zero if $i \neq j$.

3.1c. *Motivic cohomology and regulators.* Since a smooth projective S-variety is in particular a smooth quasiprojective k-variety, one can define *motivic cohomology groups* with L-coefficients, $H^i_{\mathrm{mot}}(X, L(j))$, for $X \in \mathrm{SmPr}(S)$.

We adopt the following convention: if $\mathcal{F} \in \operatorname{Obj} \mathrm{CHM}(S)_L$ is given by a triple (X, p, n) as above, and the realisations of $\mathcal{F}$ are non-zero in only one degree r, then we write

$$H^i_{\mathrm{mot}}(S, \mathcal{F}(j)) \coloneqq p^* H^{(i+r+2n)}_{\mathrm{mot}}(X, L(j+n)).$$

With this convention, we obtain regulator maps

$$r_{\mathcal{T}} : H^i_{\mathrm{mot}}(S, \mathcal{F}(j)) \to H^i_{\mathcal{T}}(S, \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{T}}(j))$$

for each of the above cohomology theories.

Remark 3.1.4. The shift in indexing occurs because the $\mathcal{T}$-realisation of $\mathcal{F}$ should be considered as a complex of sheaves concentrated in degree r, but we are forgetting the grading, i.e. treating it as if it were concentrated in degree 0.

3.1d. *Functoriality in S.* Let S, T be two smooth connected quasi-projective k-varieties, so that the categories $\mathrm{CHM}(S)_L$ and $\mathrm{CHM}(T)_L$ are defined. If $\iota : S \to T$ is a morphism, then there is a pullback functor

$$\iota^* : \mathrm{CHM}(T)_L \to \mathrm{CHM}(S)_L$$

which maps the motive of a T-variety X to the motive of $\iota^* X = S \times_{\iota, T} X$. This is clearly compatible with the pullback functors for the various realisations.

If we assume ι to be a closed immersion of codimension d, there is a Gysin map

$$\iota_* : H^i_{\mathrm{mot}}(S, \iota^*\mathcal{F}(n)) \to H^{i+2d}_{\mathrm{mot}}(T, \mathcal{F}(n+d))$$

for any $\mathcal{F} \in \mathrm{Obj}\,(\mathrm{CHM}(S)_L)$, compatible with the pushforward maps for the realisations described above. If $\mathcal{F} = M(X)$ for a variety X/T, this is just the pushforward map

$$H^i_{\mathrm{mot}}(\iota^*(X), L(n)) \to H^{i+2d}_{\mathrm{mot}}(X, L(n+d))$$

corresponding to the inclusion $\iota^*(X) \hookrightarrow X$ (cf. [MVW06, Theorem 15.15]).

3.2. **Relative motives over Shimura varieties.** We will be interested in the cohomology of certain relative motives (and their realisations) arising from the universal abelian varieties over modular curves and Hilbert modular surfaces. (This is an instance of a much more general construction, applying to arbitrary PEL Shimura varieties, due to Ancona [Anc15, §8].)

3.2a. *Modular curves.* Let U be an open compact subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{A}_f)$, and suppose that U is sufficiently small. Then we have a modular curve $Y_{\mathbf{Q}}(U)$, which is a smooth affine $\mathbf{Q}$-variety; and if $V = \hat{\mathbf{Z}}^2 \rtimes U \subset P_{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathbf{A}_f)$, then we obtain an elliptic curve $\mathcal{E} = A_{\mathbf{Q}}(V)$ over $Y_{\mathbf{Q}}(U)$.

Definition 3.2.1. *For integers $k \geq 0$, we write* $\mathrm{TSym}^k \mathscr{H}_L(\mathcal{E})$ *for the object of* $\mathrm{CHM}(Y_{\mathbf{Q}}(U))_L$ *given by the k-th symmetric power of* $M^1(\mathcal{E})(1)$.

If the coefficient field L is $\mathbf{Q}$, the groups $H^i_{\mathrm{mot}}(Y_{\mathbf{Q}}(U), \mathrm{TSym}^k \mathscr{H}_{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathcal{E})(j))$ agree with those defined by an *ad hoc* approach in [KLZ15a, Definition 3.2.2]. For general L we have

$$H^i_{\mathrm{mot}}(Y_{\mathbf{Q}}(U), \mathrm{TSym}^k \mathscr{H}_L(\mathcal{E})(j)) = L \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} H^i_{\mathrm{mot}}(Y_{\mathbf{Q}}(U), \mathrm{TSym}^k \mathscr{H}_{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathcal{E})(j)).$$

3.2b. *Shimura varieties for G^*.* We now suppose U^* is an open compact subgroup in $G^*(\mathbf{A}_f)$, where G^* is the group defined in §2.1 above, and we set $V^* = \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_F^2 \rtimes U^*$. Again, we suppose U^* to be sufficiently small, so that the mixed Shimura variety $\mathcal{A} = A(V^*)$ is an abelian surface over $Y^*(U^*)$.

We define an object of $\mathrm{CHM}(Y^*(U^*))_L$ by

$$\mathscr{H}_L(\mathcal{A}) = M^3(\mathcal{A})(2) = M^1(\mathcal{A})^\vee.$$

Since $\mathcal{O}_F$ acts on $\mathcal{A}$ by endomorphisms, for each $x \in \mathcal{O}_F$ we have an endomorphism $[x]_*$ of $\mathscr{H}_L(\mathcal{A})$, and if $x \in \mathbf{Z}$ then $[x]_*$ is simply multiplication by x.

By enlarging L if necessary, we now suppose that there exist non-zero embeddings $F \hookrightarrow L$, and we let σ_1, σ_2 be the two such embeddings. Then the relative motive $\mathscr{H}_L(\mathcal{A})$ decomposes as $\mathscr{H}_L(\mathcal{A})^{(1)} \oplus \mathscr{H}_L(\mathcal{A})^{(2)}$ where $\mathscr{H}_L(\mathcal{A})^{(i)}$ denotes the direct summand where we have

$$[x]_* = \sigma_i(x)$$

for $x \in \mathcal{O}_F$.

Remark 3.2.2. We may take $\mathscr{H}_L(\mathcal{A})^{(1)}$ and $\mathscr{H}_L(\mathcal{A})^{(2)}$ to be the images of the orthogonal idempotents

$$\frac{\sigma_1(\sqrt{D}) + [\sqrt{D}]_*}{2\sigma_1(\sqrt{D})} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\sigma_1(\sqrt{D}) - [\sqrt{D}]_*}{2\sigma_1(\sqrt{D})}.$$

Definition 3.2.3. *Let $k, k' \geq 0$. The relative Chow motive* $\mathrm{TSym}^{[k,k']} \mathscr{H}_L(\mathcal{A})$ *over* $Y^*(U^*)$ *is defined by*

$$\mathrm{TSym}^k \left(\mathscr{H}_L(\mathcal{A})^{(1)} \right) \otimes \mathrm{TSym}^{k'} \left(\mathscr{H}_L(\mathcal{A})^{(2)} \right).$$

Remark 3.2.4. Thus $\mathrm{TSym}^{[k,k']}\mathscr{H}_L(\mathcal{A})$ can be realised as a direct summand of the motive

$$M^{(3k+3k')}(\mathcal{A}^{k+k'})(2k+2k') = \left(M^{k+k'}(\mathcal{A}^{k+k'})\right)^\vee.$$

One can check that if $k = k'$, then $\mathrm{TSym}^{[k,k']}\mathscr{H}_L(\mathcal{A})$ can be defined without assuming that $F \subseteq L$.

Since we have defined the Hecke correspondences $T(\ell)$, $T'(\ell)$, $R(\ell)$, etc as correspondences on $\mathcal{A}$, the cohomology groups $H^i(Y^*(U^*), \mathrm{TSym}^{[k,k']}\mathcal{H}_L(\mathcal{A}))$ acquire actions of these operators. Note that $R'(x)$ acts, by construction, as multiplication by $\sigma_1(x)^k\sigma_2(x)^{k'} \in L^\times$.

3.2c. *Shimura varieties for G.* We now consider the case of a sufficiently small open compact subgroup $U \subset G(\mathbf{A}_f)$. This case is not covered by [Anc15], since the Shimura datum for G is not of PEL type; we are grateful to Giuseppe Ancona for explaining to us how to extend his construction to this case. We take $k, k' \geq 0$ such that $k = k' \bmod 2$, and we choose integers t, t' such that $k + 2t = k' + 2t'$. We write λ for the quadruple (k, k', t, t').

Definition 3.2.5. *Let $U^* = U \cap G^*$. We let $\widetilde{\mathscr{H}}_L^{[\lambda]}$ be the relative Chow motive over $Y^*(U^*)$ defined by*

$$\left[\mathrm{TSym}^k\left(\mathscr{H}_L(\mathcal{A})^{(1)}\right) \otimes \det\left(\mathscr{H}_L(\mathcal{A})^{(1)}\right)^s\right] \otimes \left[\mathrm{TSym}^{k'}\left(\mathscr{H}_L(\mathcal{A})^{(2)}\right) \otimes \det\left(\mathscr{H}_L(\mathcal{A})^{(2)}\right)^{s'}\right].$$

Remark 3.2.6. In fact both $\det(\mathscr{H}_L(\mathcal{A})^{(1)})$ and $\det(\mathscr{H}_L(\mathcal{A})^{(2)})$ are isomorphic in $\mathrm{CHM}(Y^*(U^*))_L$ to the Tate motive $L(1)$, since there is a canonical $\mathcal{O}_F$-linear isogeny from $\mathcal{A}$ to its dual (the dual can be identified with $\mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_F} \mathfrak{d}^{-1}$). However, this isomorphism is not $\mathcal{G}$-equivariant, so it does not respect the Hecke action on the cohomology of $\mathscr{H}^{[\lambda]}$.

Let $Y(U)_1$ denote the image of $Y^*(U^*)$ in $Y(U)$ (which is the union of a subset of the components of $Y(U)$), and let H be the finite abelian group $(U \cap \mathcal{G})/U^* \cdot (U \cap Z(\mathcal{G}))$ of Proposition 2.2.5, so that

$$Y(U)_1 = Y^*(U^*)/H.$$

Let $q : Y^*(U^*) \to Y(U)_1$ be the quotient map.

Proposition 3.2.7. *There is a natural action of H on $q_*\widetilde{\mathscr{H}}_L^{[\lambda]}$, acting via automorphisms in the category $\mathrm{CHM}(Y(U)_1)_L$.*

Proof. We have defined an action of $\mathcal{G} \cap M_{2\times 2}(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_F)$ on $\mathcal{A}$ by isogenies, compatible with its action on $Y^*(U^*)$, and the elements of $\mathcal{G} \cap U$ act as automorphisms; so it suffices to check that the subgroup $U \cap Z(\mathcal{G})$ acts trivially on the direct factor $\widetilde{\mathscr{H}}_L^{[\lambda]}$ of $\mathcal{A} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{A}$.

By construction, pushforward by a scalar matrix $x \in F^\times$ acts on $\widetilde{\mathscr{H}}_L^{[\lambda]}$ as multiplication by $\sigma_1(x)^{k+2t}\sigma_2(x)^{k'+2t'} = \mathrm{Nm}(x)^w$, where w is the common value $k + 2t = k' + 2t'$. Since $U \cap Z(\mathcal{G}) = \hat{\mathbf{Z}}^\times \cdot \mathcal{O}_F^{\times +}$, with the $\hat{\mathbf{Z}}^\times$ factor acting trivially, and units in $\mathcal{O}_F^{\times +}$ all have norm 1, the action of $U \cap Z(\mathcal{G})$ is trivial as required. □

Definition 3.2.8. *We let $\mathscr{H}_L^{[\lambda]}$ be the direct summand of $q_*\widetilde{\mathscr{H}}_L^{[\lambda]}$ cut out by the projector $\frac{1}{|H|}\sum_{h\in H} h$.*

Then $\mathscr{H}_L^{[\lambda]}$ is a relative Chow motive over $Y(U)_1$ whose pullback to $Y^*(U^*)$ is $\widetilde{\mathscr{H}_L^{[\lambda]}}$. We extend this to $Y(U)$ as follows: if $g_1, \dots, g_n$ are a finite set of elements of $G(\mathbf{A}_f)$ whose determinants are coset representatives for $\mathbf{A}_{F,f}^\times/(F^{\times +}\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{Q},f}^\times \det(U))$, then $Y(U)$ is isomorphic to the disjoint union of the varieties $Y(g_i U g_i^{-1})_1$, and we may apply the above construction to each of these varieties individually. The resulting relative Chow motive over $Y(U)$ is independent (up to a canonical isomorphism) of the choice of the g_i, and it has natural covariant and contravariant actions of the Hecke algebra of double cosets $U\backslash G(\mathbf{A}_f)/U$.

3.3. **The Clebsch–Gordan map.** Now let U^* be an open compact in $G^*(\mathbf{A}_f)$, and let $U_{\mathbf{Q}}$ be its intersection with $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{A}_f)$, so there is a closed embedding

$$\iota : Y_{\mathbf{Q}}(U_{\mathbf{Q}}) \hookrightarrow Y^*(U^*),$$

and the abelian variety $\iota^*(\mathcal{A})$ is canonically isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_F \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathcal{E}$ (compatibly with the $\mathcal{O}_F$-action). Hence both $\iota^*\mathscr{H}_L(\mathcal{A})^{(1)}$ and $\iota^*\mathscr{H}_L(\mathcal{A})^{(2)}$ can be identified with $\mathscr{H}_L(\mathcal{E})$.

We therefore have the following maps:

$$\mathrm{TSym}^{k+k'} \mathscr{H}_L(\mathcal{E}) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{TSym}^k \mathscr{H}_L(\mathcal{E}) \otimes \mathrm{TSym}^{k'} \mathscr{H}_L(\mathcal{E}) = \iota^* \left(\mathrm{TSym}^{[k,k']} \mathscr{H}_L(\mathcal{A}) \right);$$

and

$$L(1) = \bigwedge_L^2 \mathscr{H}_L(\mathcal{E}) \hookrightarrow \mathscr{H}_L(\mathcal{E}) \otimes \mathscr{H}_L(\mathcal{E}) = \iota^* \left(\mathrm{TSym}^{[1,1]} \mathscr{H}_L(\mathcal{A}) \right).$$

Combining these two cases using multiplication in the symmetric tensor algebra $\mathrm{TSym}^\bullet$, we obtain the following:

Proposition 3.3.1. *For any integers k, k', j satisfying the inequality*

$$0 \le j \le \min(k, k'),$$

we have a canonical morphism of relative Chow motives over $Y_{\mathbf{Q}}(U_{\mathbf{Q}})$,

$$CG_{\mathrm{mot}}^{[k,k',j]} : \mathrm{TSym}^{k+k'-2j} \mathscr{H}_L(\mathcal{E}) \to \iota^* \left(\mathrm{TSym}^{[k,k']} \mathscr{H}_L(\mathcal{A}) \right)(-j).$$

Remark 3.3.2. Note that $CG_{\mathrm{mot}}^{[k,k',j]}$ does *not* commute with maps induced by isogenies of the universal abelian varieties $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{A}$, since the identification $\bigwedge_L^2 \mathscr{H}_L(\mathcal{E}) \cong L(1)$ is not preserved by isogenies. In particular, for $n \in \mathbf{Z}$, the Hecke operator $R'(n)$ (acting as pushforward via the n-multiplication map on $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{E}$) acts as $n^{k+k'-2j}$ on the source of the map $CG_{\mathrm{mot}}^{[k,k',j]}$ and as $n^{k+k'}$ on the target.

3.4. **Construction of Asai–Flach classes over Q.**

Definition 3.4.1. *For $k \ge 0$ and $N \ge 5$, let*

$$\mathrm{Eis}_{\mathrm{mot},N}^k \in H^1_{\mathrm{mot}} \left(Y_{1,\mathbf{Q}}(N), \mathrm{TSym}^k \mathscr{H}_{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathcal{E})(1) \right)$$

be the class defined in [KLZ15a, Theorem 4.1.1]. *Via base extension, we regard this as an element of $H^1_{\mathrm{mot}}(Y_{1,\mathbf{Q}}(N), \mathrm{TSym}^k \mathscr{H}_L(\mathcal{E})(1))$ for any coefficient field L.*

(In *op.cit.* this class is denoted by $\mathrm{Eis}_{\mathrm{mot},b,N}^k$, as it depends on a choice of $b \in \mathbf{Z}/N\mathbf{Z} - \{0\}$; but we shall take $b = 1$ and drop it from the notation.)

Now let $\mathfrak{N} \lhd \mathcal{O}_F$ be a non-zero ideal. We suppose $\mathfrak{N}$ is sufficiently divisible that $Y_1(\mathfrak{N})$ is a fine moduli scheme. We can now define the key objects of this paper:

Definition 3.4.2. *For k, k', j integers satisfying the inequality $0 \le j \le \min(k, k')$, we define the* motivic Asai–Flach class

$$\mathrm{AF}_{\mathrm{mot},\mathfrak{N}}^{[k,k',j]} \in H^3_{\mathrm{mot}} \left(Y_1^*(\mathfrak{N}), \mathrm{TSym}^{[k,k']} \mathscr{H}_L(\mathcal{A})(2-j) \right)$$

as the image of $\mathrm{Eis}_{\mathrm{mot},N}^{k+k'-2j}$ under $(\iota_* \circ CG_{\mathrm{mot}}^{[k,k',j]})$, where $N = \mathfrak{N} \cap \mathbf{Z}$.

Similarly, we write $\mathrm{AF}^{[k,k',j]}_{\text{ét},\mathfrak{N}}$ for the image of $\mathrm{AF}^{[k,k',j]}_{\text{mot},\mathfrak{N}}$ in étale cohomology.

3.5. **Classes over cyclotomic fields.** Note that the Asai–Flach classes of the previous section are defined on the Hilbert modular varieties $Y_1^*(\mathfrak{N})$, which are geometrically connected varieties over $\mathbf{Q}$. We now define more general Asai–Flach classes, which are cohomology classes on the base-extensions of these varieties to cyclotomic fields.

Let $M \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 1}$, and $\mathfrak{N}$ an ideal of $\mathcal{O}_F$ as above. Via pullback along the natural map $Y^*(M, M\mathfrak{N}) \to Y_1^*(M\mathfrak{N})$, we regard $\mathrm{AF}^{[k,k',j]}_{\text{mot},M\mathfrak{N}}$ as a class in the cohomology of $Y^*(M, M\mathfrak{N})$.

The variety $Y^*(M, M\mathfrak{N})$ has an action of $\mathcal{O}_F/M\mathcal{O}_F$, since the corresponding subgroup of $G^*(\mathbf{A}_f)$ is normalised by matrices of the form $u_a = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ with $a \in \mathcal{O}_F$. Recall also that we have a map $t_M : Y^*(M, M\mathfrak{N}) \to Y_1^*(\mathfrak{N}) \times \mu_M^\circ$. Both the automorphisms u_a, and the map t_M, extend naturally to maps on the universal abelian variety $\mathcal{A}$, and thus on our motivic coefficient sheaves.

Definition 3.5.1. *We define*

$$\mathrm{AF}^{[k,k',j]}_{\text{mot},M,\mathfrak{N},a} = (t_M \circ u_a)_* \left(\mathrm{AF}^{[k,k',j]}_{\text{mot},M\mathfrak{N}}\right) \in H^3_{\text{mot}}\left(Y_1^*(\mathfrak{N}) \times \mu_M^\circ, \mathrm{TSym}^{[k,k']} \mathscr{H}_L(\mathcal{A})(2-j)\right),$$

and $\mathrm{AF}^{[k,k',j]}_{\text{ét},M,\mathfrak{N},a}$ *its étale analogue.*

Remark 3.5.2. In fact we can replace $Y^*(M, M\mathfrak{N})$ with $Y^*(M, \mathfrak{N}')$ for any ideal $\mathfrak{N}'$ divisible by M and $\mathfrak{N}$ and having the same prime factors as $M\mathfrak{N}$; this will follow from the norm-compatibility relations below.

Theorem 3.5.3. *The above elements enjoy the following properties.*

1. *The class* $\mathrm{AF}^{[k,k',j]}_{\text{mot},M,\mathfrak{N},a}$ *depends only on the image of* a *in* $\mathcal{O}_F/(M\mathcal{O}_F + \mathbf{Z})$.
2. *For* $b \in (\mathbf{Z}/M\mathbf{Z})^\times$, *we have*
$$\sigma_b \cdot \mathrm{AF}^{[k,k',j]}_{\text{mot},M,\mathfrak{N},a} = \mathrm{AF}^{[k,k',j]}_{\text{mot},M,\mathfrak{N},b^{-1}a},$$
where σ_b *denotes the image of* b *in* $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbf{Q}(\mu_M)/\mathbf{Q})$.
3. *(Level compatibility) If* $\mathfrak{l}$ *is a prime and* $\mathrm{pr}_{1,\mathfrak{l}}$ *denotes the natural projection* $Y_1^*(\mathfrak{lN}) \to Y_1^*(\mathfrak{N})$, *then we have*
$$(\mathrm{pr}_{1,\mathfrak{l}})_* \left(\mathrm{AF}^{[k,k',j]}_{\text{mot},M,\mathfrak{lN},a}\right) = \begin{cases} \mathrm{AF}^{[k,k',j]}_{\text{mot},M,\mathfrak{N},a} & \text{if } \mathfrak{l} \mid M\mathfrak{N} \text{ or } \bar{\mathfrak{l}} \mid M\mathfrak{N}, \\ \left(1 - \ell^{k+k'-2j}\langle \ell^{-1}\rangle \sigma_\ell^{-2}\right) \mathrm{AF}^{[k,k',j]}_{\text{mot},M,\mathfrak{N},a} & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$
where ℓ *is the rational prime below* $\mathfrak{l}$.
4. *(Euler system norm relation) If* ℓ *is prime satisfying one of the conditions below, and* a *is a generator of* $\mathcal{O}_F/(\ell M\mathcal{O}_F + \mathbf{Z})$ *, we have*
$$\mathrm{norm}_M^{\ell M}\left(\mathrm{AF}^{[k,k',j]}_{\text{mot},\ell M,\mathfrak{N},a}\right) = A \cdot \mathrm{AF}^{[k,k',j]}_{\text{mot},M,\mathfrak{N},a}$$
where A *is the Hecke operator given as follows:*
   - *if* $\ell \mid \mathfrak{N}$ *and* $\ell \mid M$, *then* $A = U'_\ell$.
   - *if* $\ell \mid \mathfrak{N}$ *and* $\ell \nmid M$, *then* $A = (U'_\ell - \ell^j \sigma_\ell)$.
   - *if* $(\ell, M\mathfrak{N}) = 1$ *and either* ℓ *is inert, or* ℓ *is split and the primes above* ℓ *are narrowly principal, then*
$$A = \ell^j \sigma_\ell \left((\ell - 1)\left(1 - \ell^{k+k'-2j}\langle \ell^{-1}\rangle \sigma_\ell^{-2}\right) - \ell P'_\ell(\ell^{-1-j}\sigma_\ell^{-1})\right),$$

where $P'_\ell(X)$ is the operator-valued Asai Euler factor of Definition 2.6.1.

Remark 3.5.4.

(i) Despite its conceptual importance – asserting the existence of an "Euler system" in motivic cohomology – we shall not actually use this theorem directly. The reason for this is that the definition given above of the motivic Asai–Flach classes, and even of the groups that they live, only makes sense with coefficients in $\mathbf{Q}$; while the usual applications of Euler systems to bounding Selmer groups require *uniformly bounded* denominators with respect to some appropriate lattice in the p-adic realisation of the Asai motive, and it is manifestly unclear from the above construction how this condition can be checked.

However, in the next section we shall obtain (as a by-product of our p-adic interpolation calculations) a second, independent construction of the étale versions of these classes, from which the integrality will be clear.

(ii) A conceptual interpretation of the "wrong" Euler factor appearing above can be given along the same lines as in the Rankin–Selberg case, see [LLZ14, §8].

Sketch of proof. The proof of this theorem is virtually identical to the proof of the Λ-adic version, which we shall prove in §7 below, so we leave it to the reader to make the necessary modifications. □

4. Eigenforms and Galois representations

Having constructed our Asai–Flach classes in the cohomology of the varieties $Y_1^*(\mathfrak{N})$, we are now interested in projecting to quotients of these cohomology spaces corresponding to eigenforms. Since the multiplicity one property does not hold for automorphic representations of G^*, but it does hold for those of G, it is more convenient to work with the varieties $Y_1(\mathfrak{N})$.

4.1. **Hilbert modular forms.**

Notation 4.1.1. *Let σ_1, σ_2 be the embeddings $F \hookrightarrow \mathbf{R}$. For a pair $\underline{r} = (r_1, r_2) \in \mathbf{Z}^2$, and $z \in F$, we write $z^{\underline{r}}$ for $\sigma_1(z)^{r_1}\sigma_2(z)^{r_2}$; and we extend this to $z \in F \otimes \mathbf{C}$ in the obvious fashion.*

Now let $\underline{r} = (r_1, r_2)$ with r_i integers ≥ 0, and let $\underline{t} = (t_1, t_2) \in \mathbf{Z}^2$. We suppose that $r_1 + 2t_1 = r_2 + 2t_2$; in particular, this implies that $r_1 = r_2 \bmod 2$. We let w be the common value $r_1 + 2t_1 = r_2 + 2t_2$.

Definition 4.1.2.

(1) For a function $f : \mathcal{H}_F \to \mathbf{C}$, and $\gamma = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}\right) \in G(\mathbf{Q})^+ = \mathrm{GL}_2(F)^+$, we write

$$(f \mid_{(\underline{r},\underline{t})} \gamma)(\tau) \coloneqq \det(\gamma)^{\underline{r}+\underline{t}-\underline{1}}(c\tau + d)^{-\underline{r}} f\left(\frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d}\right).$$

(2) Let $U \subset G(\mathbf{A}_f)$ be open compact. A Hilbert modular form *of weight $(\underline{r}, \underline{t})$ and level U is a function $\mathcal{F} : G(\mathbf{A}_f) \times \mathcal{H}_F \to \mathbf{C}$ such that*

(i) For every $g \in G(\mathbf{A}_f)$, the function $\mathcal{F}(g, -)$ is holomorphic on $\mathcal{H}_F$.

(ii) We have $\mathcal{F}(gu, \tau) = \mathcal{F}(g, \tau)$ for all $u \in U$, $g \in G(\mathbf{A}_f)$ and $\tau \in \mathcal{H}_F$.

(iii) We have $\mathcal{F}(\gamma g, -) = \mathcal{F}(g, -) \mid_{(\underline{r},\underline{t})} \gamma^{-1}$ for all $\gamma \in G(\mathbf{Q})^+$ and $g \in G(\mathbf{A}_f)$.

We let $M_{(\underline{r},\underline{t})}(U, \mathbf{C})$ denote the space of such functions, and $S_{(\underline{r},\underline{t})}(U, \mathbf{C})$ the subspace of cusp forms.

On the space of Hilbert modular forms of level $U_1(\mathfrak{N})$ there are *normalised Hecke operators* $\mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{n})$ for every integral ideal $\mathfrak{n} \triangleleft \mathcal{O}_F$; and there are also *diamond operators* $\langle \mathfrak{n} \rangle$ for all fractional ideals $\mathfrak{n}$ coprime to $\mathfrak{N}$, depending only on the class of $\mathfrak{n}$ in the narrow ray class group $\mathrm{Cl}^+(F, \mathfrak{N})$.

Remark 4.1.3. To fix normalisations, we point out that if $\mathcal{F}$ is a Hilbert cusp form, then $\mathcal{F}$ has a *Fourier–Whittaker expansion*

$$\mathcal{F}\left(\left(\begin{smallmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right), \tau\right) = \|x\|^{w-1} \sum_{\lambda \in F^{\times +}} \lambda^{-\underline{t}} c(\lambda x, \mathcal{F}) e^{2\pi i \operatorname{Tr}_{F/\mathbf{Q}}(\lambda \tau)}$$

where $c(-, \mathcal{F})$ is a $\mathbf{C}$-valued function on $\mathbf{A}_{F,f}^{\times}$. If the level is of the form $U_1(\mathfrak{N})$, then $c(x, \mathcal{F})$ only depends on the fractional $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_F$-ideal generated by x, and the Hecke operators satisfy $c(\mathfrak{n}, \mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{m})\mathcal{F}) = c(\mathfrak{mn}, \mathcal{F})$ whenever $(\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{n}\mathfrak{d}) = 1$.

Definition 4.1.4. *We say a cuspidal Hilbert modular form $\mathcal{F}$ is an* eigenform *if it is an eigenvector for the Hecke operators $\mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{n})$ for all ideals $\mathfrak{n}$. We say $\mathcal{F}$ is* normalised *if $c(\mathfrak{d}^{-1}, \mathcal{F}) = D^{-(t_1+t_2)/2}$.*

Note that if $\mathcal{F}(g, \tau)$ is a Hilbert modular form of weight $(\underline{r}, \underline{t})$, then $\mathcal{F}^{(s)}(g, \tau) \coloneqq \|\det g\|^s \mathcal{F}(g, \tau)$ is a Hilbert modular form of weight $(\underline{r}, \underline{t} + (s, s))$ for any $s \in \mathbf{Z}$, and its Fourier–Whittaker coefficients satisfy $c(\mathfrak{m}, \mathcal{F}^{(s)}) = \mathrm{Nm}(\mathfrak{m})^s c(\mathfrak{m}, \mathcal{F})$. Our choice of normalisations is such that $\mathcal{F}^{(s)}$ is a normalised eigenform if $\mathcal{F}$ is. (Note that the restrictions of $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{F}^{(s)}$ to $\mathcal{H}_F$ are identical.)

If $\mathcal{F}$ is an eigenform, then its $\mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{n})$-eigenvalues $\mu(\mathfrak{n})$ all lie in a number field L, and the diamond operators act on $\mathcal{F}$ via a character $\varepsilon : \mathrm{Cl}^+(F, \mathfrak{N}) \to L^{\times}$. Exactly as in the familiar case $F = \mathbf{Q}$, a normalised eigenform is uniquely determined by its Hecke eigenvalues.

4.2. **Galois representations.**

Theorem 4.2.1 (Blasius–Rogawski–Taylor)**.** *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a Hilbert modular eigenform of weight $(\underline{r}, \underline{t})$, with $r_1, r_2 \geq 2$. Let L be the number field generated by the Hecke eigenvalues $\lambda(\mathfrak{n})$. Then for every finite place v of L, there is an irreducible 2-dimensional "standard" Galois representation*

$$\rho_{\mathcal{F},v}^{\mathrm{std}} : \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{F}/F) \to \mathrm{GL}_2(L_v),$$

such that for all primes $\mathfrak{p} \nmid \mathfrak{N}\,\mathrm{Nm}_{L/\mathbf{Q}}(v)$, the representation $\rho_{\mathcal{F},v}^{\mathrm{std}}$ is unramified at $\mathfrak{p}$ and we have

$$\det\left(1 - X\rho_{\mathcal{F},v}^{\mathrm{std}}(\mathrm{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-1})\right) = 1 - \lambda(\mathfrak{p})X + \mathrm{Nm}(\mathfrak{p})^{w-1}\varepsilon(\mathfrak{p})X^2.$$

Moreover, the Hodge numbers[3] *of $\rho_{\mathcal{F},v}^{\mathrm{std}}$ at the primes above $\mathrm{Nm}(v)$ are $\{t_1, t_1 + r_1 - 1\}$ at one embedding and $\{t_2, t_2 + r_2 - 1\}$ at the other.*

We are not actually interested in the standard representation per se, but in its tensor induction to $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}/\mathbf{Q})$:

Definition 4.2.2. *For an eigenform $\mathcal{F}$ as above, we define the 4-dimensional "Asai" Galois representation*

$$\rho_{\mathcal{F},v}^{\mathrm{Asai}} : \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}/\mathbf{Q}) \to \mathrm{GL}_4(L_v)$$

by

$$\rho_{\mathcal{F},v}^{\mathrm{Asai}} = \left(\bigotimes - \mathrm{Ind}\right)_F^{\mathbf{Q}} (\rho_{\mathcal{F},v}^{\mathrm{std}}) \otimes L_v(t_1 + t_2).$$

The Hodge numbers of this Galois representation are $\{0, r_1 - 1, r_2 - 1, r_1 + r_2 - 2\}$.

[3] That is, the negatives of the Hodge–Tate weights. For the complete avoidance of doubt, we state that in this paper the cyclotomic character has Hodge–Tate weight $+1$ and Hodge number -1.

Remark 4.2.3. The Asai representation is unramified at all primes not dividing $p\Delta\,\mathrm{Nm}(\mathfrak{N})$. The twist by t_1+t_2 implies that the Asai representation of $\mathcal{F}$ is the same as that of the twist $\mathcal{F}^{(s)}$, for any integer s.

Note also that $\rho_{\mathcal{F},v}^{\mathrm{Asai}}$ actually preserves an orthogonal form up to scaling, i.e. its image lands in the general orthogonal group $\mathrm{GO}_4 \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_4$. The subgroup landing in the connected component GSO_4 is exactly $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{F}/F)$.

4.3. **Étale cohomology of Hilbert modular varieties.** We fix a weight $\underline{r},\underline{t}$ as above, with $r_1\geq 2, r_2\geq 2$. We also fix a prime p, a finite extension $L/\mathbf{Q}$ containing F, and a prime $v\mid p$ of L. Let $\lambda=(r_1-2,r_2-2,t_1,t_2)$.

Definition 4.3.1. *We let $\mathscr{H}_{L_v}^{[\lambda]}$ be the étale sheaf of L_v-vector spaces on $Y(U)$, for each sufficiently small U, that is the étale realisation of the relative Chow motive $\mathscr{H}_L^{[\lambda]}$ of §3.2c above. We write $\mathscr{H}_{L_v}^{(\lambda)}$ for the dual of $\mathscr{H}_{L_v}^{[\lambda]}$.*

Definition 4.3.2. *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a cuspidal Hilbert eigenform of weight $(\underline{r},\underline{t})$ and level $\mathfrak{N}$, with Hecke eigenvalues in L. Then we define*

$$M_{L_v}(\mathcal{F}) \coloneqq H^2_{\text{ét}}\left(Y_1(\mathfrak{N})_{\overline{\mathbf{Q}}}, \mathscr{H}_{L_v}^{(\lambda)}(t_1+t_2)\right)[\mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{n})=\lambda(\mathfrak{n})\ \forall \mathfrak{n}],$$

where $\lambda(\mathfrak{n})$ is the $\mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{n})$-eigenvalue of $\mathcal{F}$.

We define similarly the $\mathcal{F}$-eigenspaces $M_{\mathrm{Betti}}(\mathcal{F})$, $M_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathcal{F})$ associated to $\mathcal{F}$ in Betti and de Rham cohomology, using the Betti and de Rham realisations of $\mathscr{H}_L^{(\lambda)}$. Note that each of these spaces lifts isomorphically to compactly-supported cohomology, since $\mathcal{F}$ is cuspidal, and they are related by comparison isomorphisms.

Theorem 4.3.3 (Brylinski–Labesse, Nekovář)**.** *The space $M_{L_v}(\mathcal{F})$ is 4-dimensional, and isomorphic as a representation of $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}/\mathbf{Q})$ to $\rho_{\mathcal{F},v}^{\mathrm{Asai}}$.*

Proof. See [BL84, §3.4], where the result is shown up to semisimplification by a comparison of traces, and [Nek16, Theorem 5.20], which establishes that $M_{L_v}(\mathcal{F})$ is always semisimple. □

We shall obtain cohomology classes for the dual $M_{L_v}(\mathcal{F})^*$ by pushing forward from $Y_1^*(\mathfrak{N})$. We note the following straightforward compatibility:

Proposition 4.3.4.

- *The pullback of $\mathscr{H}_{L_v}^{(\lambda)}(t_1+t_2)$ to $Y_1^*(\mathfrak{N})$ is $\mathrm{Sym}^{(r_1-2,r_2-2)}\,\mathscr{H}_{L_v}(\mathcal{A})^\vee$.*
- *The pullback map on cohomology*

$$H^\bullet\left(Y_1(\mathfrak{N})_{\overline{\mathbf{Q}}}, \mathscr{H}_{L_v}^{(\lambda)}(t_1+t_2)\right) \to H^\bullet\left(Y_1^*(\mathfrak{N})_{\overline{\mathbf{Q}}}, \mathrm{Sym}^{(r_1-2,r_2-2)}\,\mathscr{H}_{L_v}(\mathcal{A})^\vee\right)$$

intertwines the normalised Hecke operator $\mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{n})$, for a narrowly-principal ideal $\mathfrak{n}$, with $x^{\underline{t}}T(x)$ where x is any totally-positive generator of $\mathfrak{n}$. □

Corollary 4.3.5. *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be an eigenform of level $\mathfrak{N}$ and weight $(\underline{r},\underline{t})$, and suppose $\underline{r}=(k+2,k'+2)$ for $k,k'\geq 0$.*

Then there is a canonical $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}/\mathbf{Q})$-equivariant map

$$\mathrm{pr}_{\mathcal{F}} : H^2_{\text{ét}}(Y_1^*(\mathfrak{N})_{\overline{\mathbf{Q}}}, \mathrm{TSym}^{[k,k']}\,\mathscr{H}_{L_v}(\mathcal{A})(2)) \to M_{L_v}(\mathcal{F})^*;$$

and this intertwines the dual operator-valued Asai Euler factor $P'_\ell(X)$ on the left-hand side with the characteristic polynomial of the geometric Frobenius

$$P_\ell(\mathcal{F},X) \coloneqq \det\left(1-X\,\mathrm{Frob}_\ell^{-1} : M_{L_v}(\mathcal{F})\right).$$

Proof. We define $\mathrm{pr}_{\mathcal{F}}$ to be the composite of pushforward along the morphism $Y_1^*(\mathfrak{N}) \to Y_1(\mathfrak{N})$ with the projection to the $\mathcal{F}$-isotypical component for the dual Hecke operators $\mathcal{T}'(\mathfrak{m})$ (the duals of the $\mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{m})$ with respect to Poincaré duality).

This projection intertwines $T'(\ell)$, for ℓ a rational prime, with $\ell^{-(t_1+t_2)}\mathcal{T}'(\ell)$. This acts on $M_{L_v}(\mathcal{F})^*$ as multiplication by $\ell^{-(t_1+t_2)}\lambda(\ell)$, which is precisely the trace of Frob_ℓ^{-1} on the Asai Galois representation. A similar (but more involved) check shows that the other coefficients of P'_ℓ are also mapped to the corresponding coefficients of the characteristic polynomial of Frobenius. □

Remark 4.3.6. This projection map is not always surjective; equivalently, not every cuspidal eigenspace in the cohomology of $Y_1(\mathfrak{N})$ is isomorphic to its image under restriction to $Y_1^*(\mathfrak{N})$. This pathology cannot, of course, occur if F has narrow class number 1, since in this case $Y_1^*(\mathfrak{N}) \to Y_1(\mathfrak{N})$ is a finite étale covering and hence induces a surjection on cohomology. (One can check that for $\mathcal{F}$ a newform, $\mathrm{pr}_{\mathcal{F}}$ is surjective if and only if $\mathcal{F}$ is not an eigenform of CM type associated to a CM extension of F contained in the narrow Hilbert class field.)

Since $\mathcal{F}$ is cuspidal, the generalised eigenspace associated to $\mathcal{F}$ in the cohomology $H^\bullet\left(Y_1(\mathfrak{N})_{\overline{\mathbf{Q}}}, \mathscr{H}_{L_v}^{(\lambda)}\right)$ is concentrated in degree 2. Hence, if K is a finite extension of $\mathbf{Q}$, and $\mathcal{O}_{K,S}$ is a localisation of $\mathcal{O}_K$ at some finite set of rational primes S containing all primes dividing $p\Delta\,\mathrm{Nm}_{F/\mathbf{Q}}(\mathfrak{N})$, then the Hochschild–Serre spectral sequence allows us to regard $\mathrm{pr}_{\mathcal{F}}$ as a map

$$H^3_{\text{ét}}\left(Y_1^*(\mathfrak{N})_{\mathcal{O}_{K,S}}, \mathrm{TSym}^{[k,k']}\,\mathscr{H}_{L_v}(\mathcal{A})(2-j)\right) \to H^1\left(\mathcal{O}_{K,S}, M_{L_v}(\mathcal{F})^*(-j)\right).$$

Definition 4.3.7. *For $\mathcal{F}$ an eigenform as in the previous corollary, and $0 \le j \le \min(k,k')$, we define*

$$\mathrm{AF}^{[\mathcal{F},j]}_{\text{ét}} = \mathrm{pr}_{\mathcal{F}}\left(\mathrm{AF}^{[k,k',j]}_{\text{ét},\mathfrak{N}}\right) \in H^1\left(\mathbf{Z}\left[\tfrac{1}{p\Delta\,\mathrm{Nm}(\mathfrak{N})}\right], M_{L_v}(\mathcal{F})^*(-j)\right),$$

and for $M \ge 1$ and $a \in \mathcal{O}_F/(M\mathcal{O}_F + \mathbf{Z})$,

$$\mathrm{AF}^{[\mathcal{F},j]}_{\text{ét},M,a} = \mathrm{pr}_{\mathcal{F}}\left(\mathrm{AF}^{[k,k',j]}_{\text{ét},M,\mathfrak{N},a}\right) \in H^1\left(\mathbf{Z}\left[\mu_m, \tfrac{1}{pM\Delta\,\mathrm{Nm}(\mathfrak{N})}\right], M_{L_v}(\mathcal{F})^*(-j)\right).$$

Corollary 4.3.8. *Let $\ell \nmid M\,\mathrm{Nm}_{F/\mathbf{Q}}(\mathfrak{N})$ be a prime, and suppose that either ℓ is inert in F, or ℓ is split and the primes above ℓ are narrowly principal. Then we have the relation*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{norm}_m^{\ell M}&\left(\mathrm{AF}^{[\mathcal{F},j]}_{\text{ét},\ell M,a}\right)\\ &= \ell^j\sigma_\ell\left((\ell-1)(1-\ell^{k+k'-2j}\sigma_\ell^{-2}\varepsilon_{\mathcal{F}}(\ell)) - P_\ell(\mathcal{F}, \ell^{-1-j}\sigma_\ell^{-1})\right)\cdot \mathrm{AF}^{[\mathcal{F},j]}_{\text{ét},M,a},\end{aligned}$$

where $\varepsilon_{\mathcal{F}}$ is the character of $\mathcal{F}$.

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.5.3 (or of Theorem 7.1.3b, which we shall prove below). □

This definition and corollary complete the proof of Theorem A of the introduction.

5. The complex regulator

We now evaluate the image of our motivic cohomology classes $\mathrm{AF}^{[k,k',j]}_{\text{mot},\mathfrak{N}}$ under Beilinson's complex-analytic regulator map. We shall follow [Kin98] closely.

Throughout this section, we fix a Hilbert modular eigenform $\mathcal{F}$ of level $\mathfrak{N}$ and some weight $(\underline{r},\underline{t})$, where $\underline{r} = (k+2, k'+2)$, and a number field L containing the Hecke eigenvalues of $\mathcal{F}$. We choose a square root of D in F, and normalise our embeddings such that $\sigma_1(\sqrt{D})$ is the positive square root.

5.1. **The Asai L-function.** For p prime, we define a local Euler factor by

$$P_p(\mathcal{F}, X) = \det\left(1 - X\,\mathrm{Frob}_p^{-1} : M_{L_v}(\mathcal{F})^{I_p}\right)$$

for any $v \nmid p$. This lies in $L[X]$ and is independent of the choice of v. It agrees with the definition given in the previous section when $p \nmid D\,\mathrm{Nm}_{F/\mathbf{Q}}(\mathfrak{N})$ (in which case I_p acts trivially).

Definition 5.1.1. *Define the primitive Asai L-function of $\mathcal{F}$ as the product*

$$L_{\mathrm{Asai}}(\mathcal{F}, s) = \prod_p P_p(\mathcal{F}, p^{-s})^{-1}.$$

This Euler product converges for $\Re(s) > \frac{k+k'}{2} + 2$; it has analytic continuation to all $s \in \mathbf{C}$ (except for a possible pole at $s = k+2$ if $k = k'$ and $\mathcal{F}$ is twist-equivalent to its internal conjugate $\mathcal{F}^\sigma$), and satisfies a functional equation relating s with $k + k' + 3 - s$. The form of the functional equation forces $L_{\mathrm{Asai}}(\mathcal{F}, s)$ to vanish to order exactly 1 at integers $s \in \{1, \dots, \min(k, k')\}$, and also at $s = 1 + \min(k, k')$ if there is no pole at $s = k + 2$.

It will be convenient to work instead with an "approximation" to L_{Asai} which is more straightforwardly linked to period integrals. We let χ be the Dirichlet character given by the restriction of the nebentype character of $\mathcal{F}$ to $(\mathbf{Z}/N\mathbf{Z})^\times$, where $N\mathbf{Z} = \mathfrak{N} \cap \mathbf{Z}$.

Definition 5.1.2. *For $n \in \mathbf{N}$, let $\mu(n)$ denote the eigenvalue of $\mathcal{F}$ for the (unnormalised) Hecke operator $T(n)$. Then we define the imprimitive Asai L-function by*

$$L^{\mathrm{imp}}_{\mathrm{Asai}}(\mathcal{F}, s) = L_{(N)}(\chi, 2s + 2 - k - k') \cdot \sum_{n \geq 1} \mu(n) n^{-s}.$$

One checks that

$$L^{\mathrm{imp}}_{\mathrm{Asai}}(\mathcal{F}, s) = L_{\mathrm{Asai}}(\mathcal{F}, s) \cdot \prod_{\ell \mid N} C_\ell(\ell^{-s}),$$

where the "error terms" $C_\ell(X)$ are polynomials; cf. [Asa77]. Moreover, $C_\ell(X)$ always divides $P_\ell(\mathcal{F}, X)$.

5.2. **Non-holomorphic eigenforms.** We define *Hilbert modular forms anti-holomorphic at σ_1* exactly as in Definition 4.1.2, but requiring that for each $g \in G(\mathbf{A}_f)$, the function $\mathcal{F}(g, -)$ on $\mathcal{H}_F$ should be anti-holomorphic in τ_1 and holomorphic in τ_2, and using $(c_1\bar{\tau}_1 + d_1)^{-r_1}$ in place of $(c_1\tau_1 + d_1)^{-r_1}$ in the definition of the weight $(\underline{r}, \underline{t})$ action.

Then the Fourier–Whittaker expansion of such a form $\mathcal{F}$ can be written as

$$\mathcal{F}\left(\left(\begin{smallmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right), \tau\right) = \|x\|^{w-1} \sum_{\substack{\lambda \in F^\times \\ \sigma_1(\lambda) < 0, \sigma_2(\lambda) > 0}} |\lambda^{-\underline{t}}|\, c(\lambda x, \mathcal{F}) e^{2\pi i(\sigma_1(\lambda)\bar{\tau}_1 + \sigma_2(\lambda)\tau_2)}.$$

If $\mathcal{F}$ is a holomorphic normalised eigenform as before, then there are unique anti-holomorphic forms $\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{ah},1}$ (anti-holomorphic at σ_1 and holomorphic at σ_2) and $\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{ah},2}$ (holomorphic at σ_1 and anti-holomorphic at σ_2), of the same level and weight as $\mathcal{F}$, for which the Fourier–Whittaker coefficients $c(x, \mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{ah},i})$ coincide with those of $\mathcal{F}$ for $i = 1, 2$.

Remark 5.2.1. If F has a unit η of norm -1, so without loss of generality we may assume that $\eta_1 < 0$ and $\eta_2 > 0$, then the restriction of $\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{ah},1}$ to the upper half-plane $\mathcal{H}_F$ can be described in terms of that of $\mathcal{F}$: up to scalars, it is the pullback of $\mathcal{F}$ via the map given by $(\tau_1, \tau_2) \mapsto (\eta_1\bar{\tau}_1, \eta_2\tau_2)$.

However, if the fundamental unit of F has norm $+1$, there is no straightforward relation at the level of functions on $\mathcal{H}_F$. In fact, if $\mathcal{F}$ is a newform with complex multiplication by a CM extension of F unramified at all finite places, then the restriction of $\mathcal{F}$ to $\mathcal{H}_F$ is identically zero, but this is not true of the forms $\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{ah},i}$.

Notation 5.2.2. *We write $\iota^*(\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{ah},1})$ for the C^∞ function on $\mathcal{H}$ defined by $\tau \mapsto \mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{ah},1}(1,(\tau,\tau))$.*

(This is naturally the restriction to $\mathcal{H}$ of a function on $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{A}_f)\times\mathcal{H}$ satisfying an appropriate automorphy property; but since $Y_{1,\mathbf{Q}}(N)$ is geometrically connected, no information is lost in treating it as a function on the upper half-plane.)

5.3. **The period integral.** Let $k \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}$, with $\alpha \neq 0$. For $\tau \in \mathcal{H}$ and $s \in \mathbf{C}$ with $k + 2\,\mathrm{Re}(s) > 2$, we define the Eisenstein series

$$E_\alpha^{(k)}(\tau, s) = (-2\pi i)^{-k}\pi^{-s}\Gamma(s+k) \sum_{(m,n)\in\mathbf{Z}^2} \frac{\Im(\tau)^s}{(m\tau+n+\alpha)^k|m\tau+n+\alpha|^{2s}}.$$

Proposition 5.3.1 (See [LLZ14], Proposition 4.2.2)**.**

- *For fixed k, τ, α, $E_\alpha^{(k)}(\tau, s)$ has meromorphic continuation to the whole s-plane, which is holomorphic everywhere if $k \neq 0$.*
- *If $N\alpha = 0$, then for a fixed s the series $E_\alpha^{(k)}(\tau, s)$ is a C^∞ function of τ which is preserved by the weight k action of $\Gamma_{1,\mathbf{Q}}(N)$. It is holomorphic in τ if $k \geq 1$ and $s = 0$ or $s = 1 - k$.*
- *We have*[4]
$$E_\alpha^{(0)}(\tau, 0) = -2\log|g_{0,\alpha}(\tau)|.$$

Applying the usual Rankin–Selberg "unfolding" technique, one obtains the following formula, which is the analogue in our present setting of [KLZ15a, Equation (3.5.3)]:

Theorem 5.3.2 (Asai)**.** *We have*

$$\int_{\Gamma_1(N)\backslash\mathcal{H}} \iota^*(\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{ah},1})(x+iy)\, E_{1/N}^{(k-k')}(x+iy, s-k-1) y^k \,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}y = \frac{D^{\frac{s}{2}}\Gamma(s)\Gamma(s-k'-1)}{N^{k+k'-2s+2}\,2^{k-k'+2s}\,(-i)^{k-k'}\pi^{2s-k'-1}}\, L^{\mathrm{imp}}_{\mathrm{Asai}}(\mathcal{F}, s). \quad \square$$

5.4. **The regulator formula.** Let $X_{\mathbf{R}} \to \mathrm{Spec}\,\mathbf{R}$ be a separated scheme, and denote by $\mathrm{MHM}_{\mathbf{R}}(X_{\mathbf{R}})$ the category of algebraic $\mathbf{R}$-mixed Hodge modules on $X_{\mathbf{R}}$. For $M_{\mathbf{R}} \in \mathrm{MHM}_{\mathbf{R}}(X_{\mathbf{R}})$, define the absolute Hodge cohomology groups

$$H^i_{\mathcal{H}}(X_{\mathbf{R}}, M_{\mathbf{R}}) = R^i\,\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{MHM}_{\mathbf{R}}(X_{\mathbf{R}})}(\mathbf{R}(0), M_{\mathbf{R}}).$$

For the properties of this cohomology theory, see [KLZ15a, §2.3].

5.4a. *The Hodge realisation of $M(\mathcal{F})$.* Recall that we have defined de Rham and Betti cohomology spaces $M_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathcal{F})$ and $M_B(\mathcal{F})$ attached to $\mathcal{F}$, which are 4-dimensional L-vector spaces. Via the comparison isomorphism $M_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathcal{F}) \otimes \mathbf{C} = M_B(\mathcal{F}) \otimes \mathbf{C}$, we can regard the pair $(M_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathcal{F}), M_B(\mathcal{F}))$ as defining a pure $\mathbf{R}$-Hodge structure $M_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{F})$ (whose weight is $k + k' + 2$).

As in [KLZ15a, §5.4], cup-product gives a perfect duality of $(L \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R})$-modules

$$H^0(\mathbf{R}, M_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{F})(1+j)) \times H^1(\mathbf{R}, M_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{F})^*(-j)) \to L \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R}$$

for any $j \in \mathbf{Z}$, and we have

$$H^0(\mathbf{R}, M_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{F})(1+j)) = \mathrm{Fil}^{1+j} M_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathcal{F})_{\mathbf{R}} \cap i^{1+j} M_B(\mathcal{F})_{\mathbf{R}}^{F_\infty = (-1)^{1+j}} \subset M_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathcal{F})_{\mathbf{C}}.$$

[4]Note that there is a sign error in [LLZ14]; the minus sign is correct.

Here F_∞ is the "infinite Frobenius" (the endomorphism of Betti cohomology induced by complex conjugation on the $\mathbf{C}$-points of $Y_1(\mathfrak{N})$).

Proposition 5.4.1. *For $0 \leq j \leq \min(k, k')$ the space $H^0(\mathbf{R}, M_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{F})(1+j))$ is free of rank 1 over $L \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R}$, and it is spanned by the class in $M_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathcal{F})_{\mathbf{C}}$ of the C^∞ differential form $\varpi_{\mathcal{F},1} + (-1)^{1+j}\varpi_{\mathcal{F},2}$, where*

$$\varpi_{\mathcal{F},1} = (-1)^{k+1}(2\pi i)^{k+k'+2}\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{ah},1}(\tau)\,\mathrm{d}\bar{z}_1^k\,\mathrm{d}z_2^{k'}\,\mathrm{d}\bar{\tau}_1\,\mathrm{d}\tau_2,$$
$$\varpi_{\mathcal{F},2} = (-1)^{k'+1}(2\pi i)^{k+k'+2}\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{ah},2}(\tau)\,\mathrm{d}z_1^k\,\mathrm{d}\bar{z}_2^{k'}\,\mathrm{d}\tau_1\,\mathrm{d}\bar{\tau}_2.$$

5.4b. *The Hodge Eisenstein class for* GL_2. We take $N \geq 1$ an integer, and we write $Y = Y_{1,\mathbf{Q}}(N)_{\mathbf{R}}$. On Y we have a natural Hodge module $\mathscr{H}_{\mathbf{R}}$, defined as the Hodge realisation of $\mathscr{H}(\mathcal{E})$, where $\mathcal{E}$ is the universal elliptic curve over Y.

Proposition 5.4.2. *The group $H^1_{\mathcal{H}}\left(Y, \mathrm{TSym}^k \mathscr{H}_{\mathbf{R}}(1)\right)$ is the group of equivalence classes of pairs $(\alpha_\infty, \alpha_{\mathrm{dR}})$, where*

$$\alpha_\infty \in \Gamma\left(Y(\mathbf{C}), \mathrm{TSym}^k \mathscr{H}_{\mathbf{R}} \otimes \mathscr{C}^\infty\right)$$

is a $\mathscr{C}^\infty$-section of $\mathrm{TSym}^k(\mathscr{H}_{\mathbf{R}})(1)$, and

$$\alpha_{\mathrm{dR}} \in \Gamma\left(Y, \mathrm{TSym}^k(\mathrm{Fil}^0 \mathscr{H}_{\mathrm{dR}}) \otimes \Omega^1_{X_1(N)}(C)\right)$$

is an algebraic section with simple poles along $C := X_1(N) \setminus Y_1(N)$, such that

$$\nabla(\alpha_\infty) = \pi_1(\alpha_{\mathrm{dR}}).$$

A pair $(\alpha_\infty, \alpha_{\mathrm{dR}})$ is equivalent to 0 if we have

$$(\alpha_\infty, \alpha_{\mathrm{dR}}) = (\pi_1(\beta), \nabla(\beta)) \text{ for some } \beta \in \Gamma(X_1(N)_{\mathbf{R}}, \mathrm{TSym}^k(\mathrm{Fil}^0 \mathscr{H}_{\mathrm{dR}})(C)).$$

Here $\pi_1 : \mathrm{TSym}^k \mathscr{H}_{\mathbf{C}} \cong \mathrm{TSym}^k \mathscr{H}_{\mathbf{R}} \otimes \mathbf{C} \to \mathrm{TSym}^k \mathscr{H}_{\mathbf{R}}(1)$ is induced by the projection $\mathbf{C} \to \mathbf{R}(1)$, $z \mapsto (z - \overline{z})/2$.

After pulling back to the upper half-plane $\mathcal{H}$, these can be described by non-holomorphic modular forms. More precisely, the pullback of $\mathscr{H}^\vee_{\mathbf{C}}$ is the sheaf of relative differentials on $\mathcal{J} = \mathbf{C} \times \mathcal{H}$ over $\mathcal{H}$, and is thus spanned by $\mathrm{d}z$ and $\mathrm{d}\bar{z}$, where z is the coordinate on $\mathbf{C}$.

Definition 5.4.3. *For $r+s = k$, let $w^{(r,s)}$ be the $\mathscr{C}^\infty$ section $\mathrm{d}z^r\,\mathrm{d}\overline{z}^s$ of $\mathrm{Sym}^k \mathscr{H}^\vee_{\mathbf{C}}$, and $w^{[r,s]}$ the dual basis of $\mathrm{TSym}^k \mathscr{H}_{\mathbf{C}}$.*

Proposition 5.4.4. *The class $\mathrm{Eis}^k_{\mathcal{H},N} \in H^1_{\mathcal{H}}\left(Y_1(N)_{\mathbf{R}}, \mathrm{TSym}^k \mathscr{H}_{\mathbf{R}}(1)\right)$ is given by $(\alpha_\infty, \alpha_{\mathrm{dR}})$ where*

$$\alpha_\infty := \frac{-N^k}{2}\sum_{j=0}^{k}(-1)^j(k-j)!(2\pi i)^{j-k}(\tau - \overline{\tau})^j E^{(2j-k)}_{1/N}(\tau, -j)w^{[k-j,j]}$$

and

$$\alpha_{\mathrm{dR}} := N^k E^{(k+2)}_{1/N}(\tau, -1-k)(-2\pi i)(\tau - \overline{\tau})^k w^{[0,k]} d\tau.$$

5.4c. *The regulator.* Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a cuspidal Hilbert eigenform, as before, of weight $(k+2, k'+2)$ and level $\mathfrak{N}$. Since $\mathcal{F}$ is cuspidal, the maximal quotient of the Betti cohomology space $H^i_B(Y_1(\mathfrak{N})(\mathbf{C}), \mathrm{TSym}^{[k,k']} \mathscr{H}_L(\mathcal{A}))$ on which the dual Hecke operators act via the Hecke eigenvalues of $\mathcal{F}$ is zero for $i \neq 2$, while for $i = 2$ it is $M_B(\mathcal{F})^*$, and similarly for the de Rham cohomology. Hence the Leray spectral sequence of absolute Hodge cohomology gives a projection map

$$\mathrm{AJ}_{\mathcal{H},\mathcal{F}} : H^3_{\mathcal{H}}\left(Y_1^*(\mathfrak{N})_{\mathbf{R}}, \mathrm{TSym}^{[k,k']}(\mathscr{H}(\mathcal{A})_{\mathbf{R}})(2-j)\right) \to H^1_{\mathcal{H}}\left(\mathrm{Spec}\,\mathbf{R}, M_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{F})^*(-j)\right),$$

the *Abel–Jacobi map* for $M_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{F})(1+j)$.

Notation 5.4.5. *For $0 \leq j \leq \min(k,k')$, we write*

$$^{\vee}CG^{[k,k',j]} : \iota^*(\mathrm{Sym}^{(k,k')}\,\mathscr{H}_{\mathbf{C}}^{\vee}) \to \mathrm{Sym}^{k+k'-2j}\,\mathscr{H}_{\mathbf{C}}^{\vee}$$

for the dual of the Clebsch–Gordan map.

Proposition 5.4.6. *If $[\omega] \in H^0_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathrm{Spec}\,\mathbf{R}, M_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{F})(1+j))$ is the class of the C^∞ differential form ω, we have the formula*

$$\left\langle \mathrm{AJ}_{\mathcal{H},\mathcal{F}}\left(\mathrm{AF}^{[k,k',j]}_{\mathcal{H},\mathfrak{N}}\right), [\omega]\right\rangle_{Y_1(\mathfrak{N})} = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{Y_{1,\mathbf{Q}}(N)} \left({}^{\vee}CG_B^{[k,k',j]} \circ \iota^*\right)(\omega) \wedge \alpha_\infty,$$

where α_∞ is the differential form in Proposition 5.4.4.

Proof. See [KLZ15a, Proposition 6.2.2]. □

Proposition 5.4.7. *Let $0 \leq j \leq \min\{k,k'\}$, and let $(\alpha_\infty, \alpha_{\mathrm{dR}})$ be the representative for the Hodge Eisenstein class described in Proposition 5.4.4.*

Then we have

$$\left({}^{\vee}CG_B^{[k,k',j]} \circ \iota^*\right)(\varpi_{\mathcal{F},1}) \wedge \alpha_\infty =$$
$$\frac{(-1)^j N^{k+k'-2j}}{2}\binom{k}{j} k'!(2\pi i)^{k+2}(\tau-\bar\tau)^k \iota^*(\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{ah},1})(\tau) E_{1/N}^{(k-k')}(\tau, j-k)\,\mathrm{d}\tau\,\mathrm{d}\bar\tau$$

and similarly

$$\left({}^{\vee}CG_B^{[k,k',j]} \circ \iota^*\right)(\varpi_{\mathcal{F},2}) \wedge \alpha_\infty =$$
$$\frac{(-1)^{k+k'+1} N^{k+k'-2j}}{2}\binom{k'}{j} k!(2\pi i)^{k'+2}(\tau-\bar\tau)^{k'} \iota^*(\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{ah},2})(\tau) E_{1/N}^{(k'-k)}(\tau, j-k')\,\mathrm{d}\tau\,\mathrm{d}\bar\tau.$$

Proof. See the proof of [KLZ15a, Proposition 6.2.8]. □

Theorem 5.4.8. *If $\varpi_{\mathcal{F}}$ is the differential $\varpi_{\mathcal{F},1} + (-1)^{j+1}\varpi_{\mathcal{F},2}$, then we have*

$$\left\langle \mathrm{AJ}_{\mathcal{H},\mathcal{F}}\left(\mathrm{AF}^{[k,k',j]}_{\mathcal{H},\mathfrak{N}}\right), [\varpi_{\mathcal{F}}]\right\rangle_{Y_1(\mathfrak{N})}$$
$$= (-1)^{k'-j}(2\pi i)^{k+k'-2j} D^{\frac{j+1}{2}} \frac{k!k'!}{(k-j)!(k'-j)!}\left.\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}s} L^{\mathrm{imp}}_{\mathrm{Asai}}(\mathcal{F}, s)\right|_{s=1+j}.$$

Proof. By Proposition 5.4.7, we have

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{Y_{1,\mathbf{Q}}(N)(\mathbf{C})} \varpi_{\mathcal{F},1}\wedge\alpha_\infty = (2\pi i)^{-1}\frac{(-1)^j N^{k+k'-2j}}{2}\binom{k}{j} k'!(2\pi i)^{k+2}$$
$$\times \int (\tau-\bar\tau)^k \iota^*(\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{ah},1})(\tau) E_{1/N}^{(k-k')}(\tau, j-k)\,\mathrm{d}\tau\,\mathrm{d}\bar\tau.$$

From the period integral formula of Theorem 5.3.2, the right-hand side is

$$(2\pi i)^{-1}\frac{(-1)^{j+1}N^{k+k'-2j}}{2}\binom{k}{j} k'!(2\pi i)^{k+2}(2i)^{k+1}$$
$$\times \frac{D^{\frac{j+1}{2}}\Gamma(j+1)\,\mathrm{Res}_{s=1+j}\Gamma(s-1-k')}{2^{2j+2+k-k'}\pi^{2j+1-k'}(-i)^{k'-k}N^{k+k'-2j}} \times L^{\mathrm{imp},\prime}_{\mathrm{Asai}}(\mathcal{F}, 1+j)$$
$$= \frac{(-1)^{k-j}k!k'!(2\pi i)^{k+k'-2j}D^{\frac{j+1}{2}}}{2(k-j)!(k'-j)!} \times L^{\mathrm{imp},\prime}_{\mathrm{Asai}}(\mathcal{F}, 1+j).$$

Since α_∞ is real-valued, and $\varpi_{\mathcal{F},2}$ is the complex conjugate of $\varpi_{\mathcal{F},1}$, we must have

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{Y_{1,\mathbf{Q}}(N)(\mathbf{C})} (\varpi_{\mathcal{F},1} + (-1)^{j+1}\varpi_{\mathcal{F},2})\wedge\alpha_\infty = 2\times\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{Y_{1,\mathbf{Q}}(N)(\mathbf{C})} \varpi_{\mathcal{F},1}\wedge\alpha_\infty,$$

so we obtain the stated formula. □

Corollary 5.4.9. *If the Asai L-value $L^{\mathrm{imp},\prime}_{\mathrm{Asai}}(\mathcal{F}, 1+j)$ is non-zero, then the projection of $\mathrm{AF}^{[k,k',j]}_{\mathrm{mot},\mathfrak{N}}$ to the $\mathcal{F}$-isotypical component of $H^3_{\mathrm{mot}}(Y_1(\mathfrak{N}), \mathscr{H}^{[\lambda]}(2))$ is non-trivial.*

Proof. Clear, since the Hodge Asai–Flach class is defined as the image of the motivic Asai–Flach class under the Hodge realisation map, and we have just shown that this Hodge Asai–Flach class is non-trivial. □

5.5. **Beilinson's conjecture.** The following conjecture is due to Beilinson:

Conjecture 5.5.1. *Let X be a smooth $\mathbf{Z}$-scheme of finite type, and p a prime invertible on X. Then, for any prime p and integers m, n, the étale realisation map*

$$H^m_{\mathrm{mot}}(X, \mathbf{Q}(n)) \otimes \mathbf{Q}_p \to H^m_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbf{Q}_p(n))$$

is injective.

Proposition 5.5.2 (Theorem D)**.** *If Conjecture 5.5.1 holds for the variety $\mathcal{A}^{(3k+3k')}$, where $\mathcal{A} = A(U_1^*(\mathfrak{N}))$, and*

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}s} L^{\mathrm{imp}}_{\mathrm{Asai}}(\mathcal{F}, s)\Big|_{s=1+j} \neq 0,$$

then the étale Asai–Flach class is non-zero in $H^1(\mathbf{Q}, M_{L_v}(\mathcal{F})^*(-j))$, for each finite place v of L.

Proof. We shall apply this conjecture with X being the Kuga–Sato variety $\mathcal{A}^{3k+3k'}$ over $Y_1^*(\mathfrak{N})$ inside which the relative motive $\mathscr{H}^{[\lambda]}$ was defined. The motivic Asai–Flach class $\mathrm{AF}^{[k,k',j]}_{\mathrm{mot},\mathfrak{N}}$ lies in $H^{3+3k+3k'}_{\mathrm{mot}}(X, \mathbf{Q}(2+2k+2k'-j))$. We may apply to this element a correspondence on X (defined using a suitable linear combination of Hecke operators) which acts as projection to the direct summand corresponding to $M(\mathcal{F})^*(-j)$. By the computations of the previous section, if the L-value does not vanish, this motivic cohomology class is non-zero; so Beilinson's conjecture predicts that it is not zero in étale cohomology either. □

Remark 5.5.3. In the base case $k = k' = j = 0$, we would be appying Beilinson's conjecture for $H^3(X, \mathbf{Q}(2))$ where X is the smooth surface $Y_1^*(\mathfrak{N})$. In this case it is known that the étale realisation map is injective after tensoring with $\mathbf{Z}/p^r\mathbf{Z}$, for any r, by a theorem of Soulé; so it would suffice to know that $H^3_{\mathrm{mot}}(Y_1^*(\mathfrak{N}), \mathbf{Z}(2))$ is finitely-generated as a $\mathbf{Z}$-module. Unfortunately, this is also a difficult open problem.

6. Asai–Iwasawa classes

6.1. **Integral coefficient sheaves.** As noted in Remark 3.5.4 above, the theory of relative motives only works well if we take the coefficient ring to be a $\mathbf{Q}$-algebra; but the theory of étale sheaves has no such restriction.

Definition 6.1.1. *Let p be an odd prime, S a regular scheme on which p is invertible, and $\mathcal{A}/S$ an abelian variety; and let L be a finite extension of $\mathbf{Q}_p$ with ring of integers R. We define a lisse étale sheaf of R-modules on S by*

$$\mathscr{H}_R(\mathcal{A}) \coloneqq R \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} (R^1(\pi_{\mathcal{A}})_* \mathbf{Z}_p)^\vee,$$

where $\pi_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{A} \to S$ is the structure map.

If we are given an action of $\mathcal{O}_F$ on $\mathcal{A}$ by endomorphisms – as in the case of the abelian varieties $A(U^*)$ over $Y^*(U^*)$ – then the sheaf $\mathscr{H}_R(\mathcal{A})$ is in fact a sheaf of $R \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} \mathcal{O}_F$-modules, with the $\mathcal{O}_F$-module structure given by pushforward via the endomorphism action.

We now suppose that p is unramified in F, and that F embeds into the coefficient field L. Then $R \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} \mathcal{O}_F \cong R \oplus R$ via the embeddings σ_1, σ_2, and we obtain a direct sum decomposition

$$\mathscr{H}_R(\mathcal{A}) = \mathscr{H}_R(\mathcal{A})^{(1)} \oplus \mathscr{H}_R(\mathcal{A})^{(2)}$$

where (as before) $\mathscr{H}_R(\mathcal{A})^{(i)}$ denotes the subspace where pushforward by $[x]$, for $x \in \mathcal{O}_F$, acts as multiplication by $\sigma_i(x)$.

Definition 6.1.2. *We define*

$$\mathrm{TSym}^{[k,k']} \mathscr{H}_R(\mathcal{A}) \coloneqq \mathrm{TSym}^k \mathscr{H}_R(\mathcal{A})^{(1)} \otimes_R \mathrm{TSym}^{k'} \mathscr{H}_R(\mathcal{A})^{(2)}.$$

Note that after inverting p this becomes isomorphic to the étale realisation of the relative Chow motive $\mathrm{TSym}^{[k,k']} \mathscr{H}_L(\mathcal{A})$ defined above.

6.2. **Lambda-adic sheaves.** We shall now define sheaves of Iwasawa modules, and maps between them, which are "Λ-adic interpolations" of the étale realisations of the relative Chow motives defined in the previous section. This construction is the analogue in the Asai setting of the constructions of §5.1 of [KLZ15b].

Definition 6.2.1. *For $\mathcal{A}/S$ as in Definition 6.1.1, and $t : S \to \mathcal{A}$ a section, we define*

$$\Lambda_R(\mathcal{A}\langle t \rangle) = \varprojlim_r t^*[p^r]_*(\mathbf{Z}/p^r\mathbf{Z})$$

as an inverse system of lisse étale sheaves on S, where $[p^r] : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ is the p^r-multiplication map. If $t = 0$ we write simply $\Lambda_R(\mathcal{A})$.

The sheaf $\Lambda_R(\mathcal{A})$ may be interpreted as the sheaf of Iwasawa algebras (with R coefficients) associated to these sheaf of abelian groups $\mathscr{H}_{\mathbf{Z}_p}(\mathcal{A})$. It has the following universal property: any map of sheaves of profinite sets $\mathscr{H}_{\mathbf{Z}_p}(\mathcal{A}) \to \mathcal{F}$, where $\mathcal{F}$ is a sheaf of R-modules, extends uniquely to a morphism of sheaves of R-modules $\Lambda_R(\mathcal{A}) \to \mathcal{F}$.

In particular, if $\mathcal{A} = A(U^*)$ for some $U^* \subseteq G^*(\hat{\mathbf{Z}})$, or if $\mathcal{E} = A_{\mathbf{Q}}(U_{\mathbf{Q}})$ for $U_{\mathbf{Q}} \subset \mathrm{GL}_2(\hat{\mathbf{Z}})$ (with U^*, resp. $U_{\mathbf{Q}}$, being sufficiently small), then we have *moment maps* ([Kin13, §§2.5.2, 2.5.2], see also [KLZ15b, Proposition 4.4.1])

$$\mathrm{mom}^k : \Lambda_R(\mathcal{E}) \to \mathrm{TSym}^k \mathscr{H}_R(\mathcal{E}),$$
$$\mathrm{mom}^{[k,k']} : \Lambda_R(\mathcal{A}) \to \mathrm{TSym}^{[k,k']} \mathscr{H}_R(\mathcal{A}),$$

for any $k \geq 0$, resp. any $k, k' \geq 0$.

If $U_{\mathbf{Q}} = U^* \cap \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{A}_f)$, then there is a natural map of sheaves of sets $\mathscr{H}_{\mathbf{Z}_p}(\mathcal{E}) \to \iota^* \left(\mathscr{H}_{\mathbf{Z}_p}(\mathcal{A})\right)$ on $Y_{\mathbf{Q}}(U_{\mathbf{Q}})$, so we obtain a map $\Lambda_R(\mathcal{E}) \to \iota^* \Lambda_R(\mathcal{A})$. It is easy to see from the definitions that the maps just defined fit into the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\Lambda_R(\mathcal{E}) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{mom}^{k+k'}} & \mathrm{TSym}^{k+k'} \mathscr{H}_R(\mathcal{E}) & \hookrightarrow & \mathrm{TSym}^k \mathscr{H}_R(\mathcal{E}) \otimes_R \mathrm{TSym}^{k'} \mathscr{H}_R(\mathcal{E}) \\
\downarrow & & & & \downarrow \\
\iota^* \Lambda_R(\mathcal{A}) & & \xrightarrow{\qquad\qquad\mathrm{mom}^{[k,k']}\qquad\qquad} & & \iota^* \mathrm{TSym}^{[k,k']} \mathscr{H}_R(\mathcal{A}).
\end{array}$$

6.3. **Cyclotomic twists.** We now extend the above construction to include a Tate twist. For $j \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$, we define

$$\Lambda_R(\mathcal{A})^{[j,j]} := \Lambda_R(\mathcal{A}) \otimes_R \mathrm{TSym}_R^{[j,j]} \mathscr{H}_R(\mathcal{A}).$$

For integers $k, k' \geq j$ there is a map

$$\mathrm{mom}^{[k,k']} : \Lambda_R(\mathcal{A})^{[j,j]} \to \mathrm{TSym}^{[k,k']} \mathscr{H}_R(\mathcal{A})$$

defined as the composition

$$\Lambda_R(\mathcal{A})^{[j,j]} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{mom}^{[k-j,k'-j]} \otimes 1} \mathrm{TSym}_R^{[k-j,k'-j]} \mathscr{H}_R(\mathcal{A}) \otimes_R \mathrm{TSym}_R^{[j,j]} \mathscr{H}_R(\mathcal{A}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{TSym}_R^{[k,k']} \mathscr{H}_R(\mathcal{A})$$

where the second map is given by the product in the symmetric tensor algebra. This is analogous to the definition of the moment map mom^k in [KLZ15b, §5.1].

Proposition 6.3.1 (cf. [KLZ15b, Proposition 5.1.2])**.** *Let $j \geq 0$. There is a morphism of sheaves on $Y_{\mathbf{Q}}(U_{\mathbf{Q}})$*

$$CG^{[j]} : \Lambda_R(\mathcal{E}) \to \iota^* \Big(\Lambda_R(\mathcal{A})^{[j,j]}(-j) \Big)$$

such that for all integers k, k', j with $0 \leq j \leq \min(k, k')$ we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Lambda_R(\mathcal{E}) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{mom}^{k+k'-2j}} & \mathrm{TSym}^{k+k'-2j}(\mathscr{H}_{\mathcal{E}}) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle CG^{[j]}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle CG^{[k,k',j]}} \\ \iota^* \Lambda_R(\mathcal{A})^{[j,j]}(-j) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{mom}^{[k,k']}} & \iota^* \mathrm{TSym}^{[k,k']} \mathscr{H}_R(\mathcal{A})(-j). \end{array}$$

Note that $CG^{[j]}$ satisfies the commutation relation

$$R'(n) \circ CG^{[j]} = n^{2j} CG^{[j]} \circ R'(n) \tag{1}$$

for $n \in \mathbf{Z}_p$, where $R'(n)$ is the operator corresponding to pushforward via the n-multiplication map on $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{E}$.

6.4. **Asai–Iwasawa classes for Hilbert modular surfaces.** We now define Asai–Iwasawa classes, which are (by construction) p-adic interpolations of the Asai–Flach classes in the previous section.

Recall that for integers $N \geq 4$, and $c > 1$ with $(c, 6pN) = 1$, we have the *Eisenstein–Iwasawa class* [KLZ15b, §4],

$${}_c\mathcal{EI}_N \in H^1_{\text{ét}}\Big(Y_{1,\mathbf{Q}}(N), \Lambda_{\mathbf{Z}_p}(\mathcal{E}\langle t_N \rangle)(1) \Big),$$

where t_N is the canonical order N section. By applying the N-multiplication map $[N] : \Lambda_{\mathbf{Z}_p}(\mathcal{E}\langle t_N \rangle) \to \Lambda_{\mathbf{Z}_p}(\mathcal{E})$, and base-extending to R, we may regard this class as having coefficients $\Lambda_R(\mathcal{E})(1)$, for any ring R as above.

Remark 6.4.1. As with the motivic Eisenstein class considered above, the Eisenstein–Iwasawa class depends on a choice of $b \in \mathbf{Z}/N\mathbf{Z} - \{0\}$, and the class is denoted by ${}_c\mathcal{EI}_{b,N}$ in *op.cit.* to emphasise this dependence, but we fix $b = 1$ here and drop it from the notation.

Definition 6.4.2. *Let $\mathfrak{N} \triangleleft \mathcal{O}_F$ be sufficiently divisible that $Y_1^*(\mathfrak{N})$ exists. For integers $j \geq 0$, and $(c, 6pN) = 1$, we define the j-th* Asai–Iwasawa class *by*

$${}_c\mathcal{AI}_{\mathfrak{N}}^{[j]} = \Big(\iota_* \circ CG^{[j]} \Big) ({}_c\mathcal{EI}_N) \in H^3_{\text{ét}}\Big(Y_1^*(\mathfrak{N}), \Lambda_R(\mathcal{A})(2-j) \Big),$$

where $N = \mathfrak{N} \cap \mathbf{Z}$ as usual.

In order to obtain our Euler system, we shall work with slightly more general classes obtained by translating the classes ${}_c\mathcal{AI}^{[j]}_{\mathfrak{N}}$ by the operators $u_a = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$.

Definition 6.4.3. *Let $M \geq 1$ and let $\mathfrak{N} \lhd \mathcal{O}_F$ be divisible by M. For suitable c as before, and $a \in \mathcal{O}_F/(M\mathcal{O}_F + \mathbf{Z})$, we set*

$$ {}_c\mathcal{AI}^{[j]}_{M,\mathfrak{N},a} = (u_a)_* \left({}_c\mathcal{AI}^{[j]}_{\mathfrak{N}} \right). $$

(Thus ${}_c\mathcal{AI}^{[j]}_{\mathfrak{N}} = {}_c\mathcal{AI}^{[j]}_{1,\mathfrak{N},0}$.)

Since the operator u_a for $a \in \mathbf{Z}$ commutes with its namesake on $Y_{\mathbf{Q}}(M,N)$, and the latter operator stabilises ${}_c\mathcal{EI}_N$, we conclude that the class ${}_c\mathcal{AI}^{[j]}_{M,\mathfrak{N},a}$ actually only depends on the image of a in the quotient $\frac{\mathcal{O}_F}{M\mathcal{O}_F+\mathbf{Z}}$.

Finally, we make the following definition:

Definition 6.4.4. *Let $M \geq 1$, and let $\mathfrak{N} \lhd \mathcal{O}_F$ (but we do **not** assume now that $M \mid \mathfrak{N}$). We define the* Λ-adic Asai–Flach class

$$ {}_c\mathcal{AF}^{[j]}_{M,\mathfrak{N},a} = (t_M)_* \left({}_c\mathcal{AI}^{[j]}_{M,\mathfrak{N}',a} \right) \in H^3_{\text{ét}}\Big(Y_1^*(\mathfrak{N}), \Lambda_R(\mathcal{A})(2-j) \Big), $$

where $\mathfrak{N}'$ is any ideal divisible by M and $\mathfrak{N}$ and having the same prime factors as $M\mathfrak{N}$.

From Proposition 6.3.1 and the basic interpolating property of the Eisenstein class ${}_c\mathcal{EI}_N$ [KLZ15b, Theorem 4.4.3], we have the following interpolation formula:

Theorem 6.4.5 (Interpolation in k, k')**.** *For any integers $0 \leq j \leq \min(k,k')$, we have*

$$ \mathrm{mom}^{[k,k']} \left({}_c\mathcal{AI}^{[j]}_{\mathfrak{N}} \right) = \left(c^2 - c^{2j-k-k'} \langle c \rangle \right) \mathrm{AF}^{[k,k',j]}_{\text{ét},\mathfrak{N}} $$

and

$$ \mathrm{mom}^{[k,k']} \left({}_c\mathcal{AF}^{[j]}_{M,\mathfrak{N},a} \right) = \left(c^2 - c^{2j-k-k'} \langle c \rangle \sigma_c^2 \right) \mathrm{AF}^{[k,k',j]}_{\text{ét},M,\mathfrak{N},a}. $$

(Note that $2j-k-k' \leq 0$, so the factor in brackets is always non-zero.) Thus these classes interpolate the étale images of the motivic Eisenstein classes, for varying k and k' but a fixed j. We shall see in due course that these classes can also be interpolated p-adically as j varies, but this will need some further preparation and we delay it until §8 below.

Remark 6.4.6. A slight refinement of the construction is also possible. The abelian variety $\mathcal{A}/Y_1^*(\mathfrak{N})$ has a canonical $\mathcal{O}_F$-linear map $\frac{\mathfrak{N}^{-1}}{\mathcal{O}_F} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}[\mathfrak{N}]$ (the universal level $U_1^*(\mathfrak{N})$-structure). In particular, if $n \geq 1$ is an integer dividing $\mathfrak{N}$, then the image of $1/n \pmod{\mathcal{O}_F}$ defines a canonical section t_n of $\mathcal{A}$; and we may lift ${}_c\mathcal{AI}^{[j]}_{\mathfrak{N}}$, resp. ${}_c\mathcal{AF}^{[j]}_{M,\mathfrak{N},a}$, to classes with coefficients in $\Lambda_R(\mathcal{A}\langle t_n \rangle)$, whose images under $[n]_*$ are the classes defined above. This refinement should be useful in studying variation in Hida families. We shall not pursue this here, however, in order to avoid adding yet more subscripts to our notation.

7. Norm relations

In this section, we prove some norm-compatibility relations for the Λ-adic Asai–Iwasawa and Asai–Flach classes defined in the previous section. We shall state these in pairs, consisting of a norm relation for the classes ${}_c\mathcal{AI}^{[j]}_{M,\mathfrak{N},a}$ and another for the classes ${}_c\mathcal{AF}^{[j]}_{M,\mathfrak{N},a}$. In each case, it is the version for the ${}_c\mathcal{AI}$ which we shall actually prove, but the version for the ${}_c\mathcal{AF}$ which will be useful in applications; the only function of the "${}_c\mathcal{AI}$ versions" in our theory is as a stepping stone towards

the "${}_c\mathcal{AF}$ versions". (This is exactly parallel to the roles played by the classes ${}_c\mathcal{RI}$ and ${}_c\mathcal{BF}$ in [KLZ15b].)

7.1. **Statement of the theorems.**

The first norm relation: changing $\mathfrak{N}$. Our first pair of theorems deal with changing the level $\mathfrak{N}$. Compare [LLZ14, Theorems 3.1.1 and 3.1.2]; [KLZ15a, Theorem 5.3.1].

Theorem 7.1.1a (Level-compatibility for ${}_c\mathcal{AI}$)**.** *Let $M \geq 1$, $\mathfrak{N}$ an ideal divisible by M, $\mathfrak{l}$ a prime ideal of $\mathcal{O}_F$, and $\ell \geq 1$ the rational prime lying below $\mathfrak{l}$. Then the image of ${}_c\mathcal{AI}^{[j]}_{M,\mathfrak{lN},a}$ under pushforward along the natural projection $\mathrm{pr}_{1,\mathfrak{l}} : Y^*(M,\mathfrak{lN}) \to Y^*(M,\mathfrak{N})$ is given by*

$$\begin{cases} {}_c\mathcal{AI}^{[j]}_{M,\mathfrak{N},a} & \text{if } \ell \mid \mathrm{Nm}_{F/\mathbf{Q}}(\mathfrak{N}), \\ (1-\ell^{-2j}\langle \ell^{-1}\rangle R'(\ell)\sigma_\ell^{-2})\,{}_c\mathcal{AI}^{[j]}_{M,\mathfrak{N},a} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Theorem 7.1.1b (Level-compatibility for ${}_c\mathcal{AF}$)**.** *Let $M \geq 1$, $\mathfrak{N}$ an ideal of $\mathcal{O}_F$, $\mathfrak{l}$ a prime ideal of $\mathcal{O}_F$, and $\ell \geq 1$ the rational prime lying below $\mathfrak{l}$. Then the image of ${}_c\mathcal{AF}^{[j]}_{M,\mathfrak{lN},a}$ under pushforward along the natural projection $\mathrm{pr}_{1,\mathfrak{l}} : Y_1^*(\mathfrak{lN}) \times \mu_M^\circ \to Y_1^*(\mathfrak{N}) \times \mu_M^\circ$ is given by*

$$\begin{cases} {}_c\mathcal{AF}^{[j]}_{M,\mathfrak{N},a} & \text{if } \ell \mid M \cdot \mathrm{Nm}_{F/\mathbf{Q}}(\mathfrak{N}), \\ (1-\ell^{-2j}\langle \ell^{-1}\rangle R'(\ell)\sigma_\ell^{-2})\,{}_c\mathcal{AF}^{[j]}_{M,\mathfrak{N},a} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We shall prove Theorem 7.1.1a in the next section. To deduce Theorem 7.1.1b, we simply apply Theorem 7.1.1a with $(M,\mathfrak{N})$ replaced by $(M, M\mathfrak{N})$, and note that the map $(t_M)_*$ commutes with the actions of the operators $R'(\ell)$, $\langle \ell \rangle$, and σ_ℓ.

The second norm relation: changing M (wild case). The next pair of theorems deal with the significantly deeper question of changing M (and thus the cyclotomic field over which the Asai–Flach elements are defined).

For $a \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 1}$, let $\hat{\mathrm{pr}}_{2,a}$ denote the degeneracy map $Y^*(aM,\mathfrak{N}) \to Y^*(M,\mathfrak{N})$ given by the matrix $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} a^{-1} & \\ & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$.

Theorem 7.1.2a (Cyclotomic compatiblity for ${}_c\mathcal{AI}$)**.** *Let $M \geq 1$, let ℓ be prime, and let $\mathfrak{N}$ be an ideal of $\mathcal{O}_F$ divisible by ℓM. Let $a \in \mathcal{O}_F/(\ell M\mathcal{O}_F + \mathbf{Z})$, and suppose that a is a unit at ℓ (i.e. the image of a generates $\mathcal{O}_F/(\ell\mathcal{O}_F + \mathbf{Z})$). Then*

$$\left(\hat{\mathrm{pr}}_{2,\ell}\right)_* \left({}_c\mathcal{AI}^{[j]}_{\ell M,\mathfrak{N},a}\right) = \begin{cases} U'(\ell)\cdot\left({}_c\mathcal{AI}^{[j]}_{M,\mathfrak{N},a}\right) & \text{if } \ell \mid M, \\ \left(U'(\ell) - \ell^j\sigma_\ell\right)\cdot\left({}_c\mathcal{AI}^{[j]}_{M,\mathfrak{N},a}\right) & \text{if } \ell \nmid M. \end{cases}$$

The corresponding statement for ${}_c\mathcal{AF}$ is considerably simpler. The natural map $\mu_{\ell M}^\circ \to \mu_M^\circ$ corresponds to the inclusion $\mathbf{Q}(\mu_m) \subset \mathbf{Q}(\mu_{\ell M})$, so pushforward along this is simply the Galois norm map. Then we have the following relation:

Theorem 7.1.2b (Cyclotomic compatiblity for ${}_c\mathcal{AF}$)**.** *Let $M \geq 1$, let $\mathfrak{N}$ be an ideal of $\mathcal{O}_F$, and let ℓ be a rational prime such that $\ell \mid \mathfrak{N}$. Suppose a is a generator of $\mathcal{O}_F/(\ell\mathcal{O}_F + \mathbf{Z})$. Then we have*

$$\mathrm{norm}_{\mathbf{Q}(\mu_m)}^{\mathbf{Q}(\mu_{\ell m})}\left({}_c\mathcal{AF}^{[j]}_{\ell M,\mathfrak{N},a}\right) = \begin{cases} U'(\ell)\cdot\left({}_c\mathcal{AF}^{[j]}_{M,\mathfrak{N},a}\right) & \text{if } \ell \mid M, \\ \left(U'(\ell) - \ell^j\sigma_\ell\right)\cdot\left({}_c\mathcal{AF}^{[j]}_{M,\mathfrak{N},a}\right) & \text{if } \ell \nmid M. \end{cases}$$

Just as before, Theorem 7.1.2b follows readily from Theorem 7.1.2a, but with the important caveat that we need to assume that $\ell \mid \mathfrak{N}$ in order for the Hecke operator $U'(\ell)$ to commute with $(t_M)_*$.

The second norm relation: changing M (tame case). We now come to the most intricate, and most important, of our norm-compatibility relations, where we introduce a new prime to M which does *not* divide N.

Theorem 7.1.3a. *Let $M \geq 1$, $\mathfrak{N} \lhd \mathcal{O}_F$ an ideal divisible by M, ℓ a prime which does not divide $\mathrm{Nm}(\mathfrak{N})$, and $a \in \mathcal{O}_F/(\ell M\mathcal{O}_F + \mathbf{Z})$ which is a unit at ℓ. Suppose also that one of the following holds:*

(i) ℓ is inert in F;
(ii) ℓ is split in F and the primes $\mathfrak{l}, \bar{\mathfrak{l}}$ above ℓ are narrowly principal.

Then pushforward via the composition $\mathrm{pr}_{1,\ell} \circ \hat{\mathrm{pr}}_{2,\ell} : Y^*(\ell M, \ell\mathfrak{N}) \to Y^*(M, \mathfrak{N})$ maps ${}_c\mathcal{AI}^{[j]}_{\ell M, \ell\mathfrak{N}, a}$ to the class

$$\ell^j \sigma_\ell \left[(\ell - 1)\left(1 - \ell^{-2j}\langle \ell^{-1}\rangle R'(\ell)\sigma_\ell^{-2}\right) - \ell P'_\ell(\ell^{-1-j}\sigma_\ell^{-1}) \right] \cdot {}_c\mathcal{AI}^{[j]}_{M, \mathfrak{N}, a},$$

where $P'_\ell(X)$ is the operator-valued Asai Euler factor of Definition 2.6.1.

We shall, in fact, only use case (i) of this theorem in the present paper (since primes inert in F will suffice for our Euler system arguments). Hence we shall not give full details of the proof of case (ii), although we include the statement (and a brief sketch of the proof) for the sake of completeness.

The "${}_c\mathcal{AF}$ version" of this is the following, which is the fundamental Euler system norm relation for our Λ-adic classes:

Theorem 7.1.3b. *Let $M \geq 1$, $\mathfrak{N} \lhd \mathcal{O}_F$ an ideal, ℓ a prime which does not divide $M \cdot \mathrm{Nm}(\mathfrak{N})$, and $a \in \mathcal{O}_F/(\ell M\mathcal{O}_F + \mathbf{Z})$ which is a unit at ℓ. Suppose also that one of the following holds:*

(i) ℓ is inert in F;
(ii) ℓ is split in F and the primes $\mathfrak{l}, \bar{\mathfrak{l}}$ above ℓ are narrowly principal.

Then the Galois norm map $\mathrm{norm}^{\mathbf{Q}(\mu_{\ell m})}_{\mathbf{Q}(\mu_m)}$ maps ${}_c\mathcal{AF}^{[j]}_{\ell M, \mathfrak{N}, a}$ to the class

$$\ell^j \sigma_\ell \left[(\ell - 1)\left(1 - \ell^{-2j}\langle \ell^{-1}\rangle R'(\ell)\sigma_\ell^{-2}\right) - \ell P'_\ell(\ell^{-1-j}\sigma_\ell^{-1}) \right] \cdot {}_c\mathcal{AF}^{[j]}_{M, \mathfrak{N}, a},$$

where $P'_\ell(X)$ is the operator-valued Asai Euler factor of Definition 2.6.1.

It is Theorem 7.1.3b which will furnish us with Kolyvagin deriviative classes in order to bound Selmer groups. As will be clear by this stage, Theorem 7.1.3b follows immediately from Theorem 7.1.3a.

Remark 7.1.4. All of the above norm-compatibility relations also hold (with exactly the same proofs) for the refined elements mentioned in Remark 6.4.6 above, as long as we restrict to values of $\mathfrak{N}$ divisible by the auxilliary integer n.

7.2. **Proof of the first norm relation.**

Proof of Theorem 7.1.1a. Let N be the positive integer generating $\mathfrak{N} \cap \mathbf{Z}$, so that $\ell \mid N$ if and only if $\ell \mid \mathrm{Nm}(\mathfrak{N})$. Recall from [KLZ15b, Theorem 4.3.2] that if $\mathrm{pr}_{1,\ell} : Y_{\mathbf{Q}}(M, \ell N) \to Y_{\mathbf{Q}}(M, N)$ is the natural projection, then

$$\mathrm{pr}_{1,\ell *}\left({}_c\mathcal{EI}_{\ell N}\right) = \begin{cases} {}_c\mathcal{EI}_N & \text{if } \ell \mid N \\ \left(1 - R'(\ell)\left(\begin{smallmatrix} \ell^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & \ell^{-1} \end{smallmatrix}\right)^*\right) {}_c\mathcal{EI}_N & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Here $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} \ell^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & \ell^{-1} \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ is considered as an element of the upper-triangular Borel subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\hat{\mathbf{Z}})$, which normalises $U_{\mathbf{Q}}(M, N)$ and thus acts on $Y_{\mathbf{Q}}(M, N)$.

Now let N' be the positive integer generating $\mathfrak{l}\mathfrak{N} \cap \mathbf{Z}$; we must have either $N' = \ell N$ or $N' = N$, and the latter case can only occur if $\ell \mid N$. We fix a lifting of a to an element of $\mathcal{O}_F/M\mathcal{O}_F$, and consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y_{\mathbf{Q}}(M, N') & \xrightarrow{u_a \circ \iota} & Y^*(M, \mathfrak{l}\mathfrak{N}) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{pr}_{1,?}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{pr}_{1,\mathfrak{l}}} \\ Y_{\mathbf{Q}}(M, N) & \xrightarrow{u_a \circ \iota} & Y^*(M, \mathfrak{N}). \end{array}$$

(The left vertical arrow is either $\mathrm{pr}_{1,\ell}$ or the identity, depending whether $N' = N\ell$ or $N' = N$.)

By definition, we have ${}_c\mathcal{AI}_{M,\mathfrak{N},a} = (u_{a*} \circ \iota_* \circ CG^{[j]})\,({}_c\mathcal{EI}_N)$, and similarly ${}_c\mathcal{AI}_{M,\mathfrak{l}\mathfrak{N},a} = (u_{a*} \circ \iota_* \circ CG^{[j]})\,({}_c\mathcal{EI}_{N'})$.

Because the diagram is commutative, and the Clebsch–Gordan map $CG^{[j]}$ is compatible with the pr_1 maps (since these act as the identity on each fibre of the abelian varieties), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{pr}_{1,\mathfrak{l}*}\left({}_c\mathcal{AI}^{[j]}_{M,\mathfrak{N},a}\right) &= \left(\mathrm{pr}_{1,\mathfrak{l}*} \circ u_{a*} \circ \iota_* \circ CG^{[j]}\right) {}_c\mathcal{EI}_{1,N'} \\ &= \left(u_{a*} \circ \iota_* \circ CG^{[j]} \circ \mathrm{pr}_{1,?*}\right) {}_c\mathcal{EI}_{1,N'}. \end{aligned}$$

If $\ell \mid N$, then N and N' have the same prime factors, so this is simply

$$\left(u_{a*} \circ \iota_* \circ CG^{[j]}\right) {}_c\mathcal{EI}_{1,N} = {}_c\mathcal{AI}^{[j]}_{M,\mathfrak{N},a}.$$

In the case $\ell \nmid N$, we have $N' = \ell N$. The action of the centre of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Z}/N\mathbf{Z})$ commutes with ι_*, u_{a*} and $CG^{[j]}$, and the same is true of $R'(\ell)$ up to a factor of ℓ^{-2j} arising from the Clebsch–Gordan map (cf. equation 1 above). Since we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} \ell^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & \ell^{-1} \end{pmatrix}^* = \langle \ell^{-1} \rangle \sigma_\ell^{-2}$$

as automorphisms of $Y^*(M, \mathfrak{N})$, this gives the result. □

7.3. **Proof of the second norm relation.** We shall now prove Theorem 7.1.2a, following closely the arguments of [KLZ15b, §5.4].

We fix M, $\mathfrak{N}$, ℓ and a as in the statement of the theorem, and we fix a lifting of a to an element of $\mathcal{O}_F/\ell M\mathcal{O}_F$. As usual, we let N be such that $\mathfrak{N} \cap \mathbf{Z} = N\mathbf{Z}$. We write

$$\iota_{M,\mathfrak{N},a} : Y_{\mathbf{Q}}(M, N) \hookrightarrow Y^*(M, \mathfrak{N})$$

for the composition $u_a \circ \iota$, and similarly for $\iota_{\ell M,\mathfrak{N},a}$. Furthermore, we define

$$\iota_{M(\ell),\mathfrak{N},a} : Y_{\mathbf{Q}}(\ell M, N) \longrightarrow Y^*(M(\ell), \mathfrak{N})$$

to be the composition of $\iota_{\ell M,\mathfrak{N},a}$ with the natural projection $Y^*(\ell M, \mathfrak{N}) \to Y^*(M(\ell), \mathfrak{N})$.

Lemma 7.3.1 (cf. [KLZ15b, Lemma 5.4.1])**.** *The map $\iota_{M(\ell),\mathfrak{N},a}$ is a closed embedding. If $\ell \mid M$, then the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y_{\mathbf{Q}}(\ell M, N) & \xrightarrow{\iota_{M(\ell),\mathfrak{N},a}} & Y^*(M(\ell), \mathfrak{N}) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \hat{\mathrm{pr}}_{1,\ell}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \hat{\mathrm{pr}}_{1,(\ell)}} \\ Y_{\mathbf{Q}}(M, N) & \xrightarrow{\iota_{M,\mathfrak{N},a}} & Y^*(M, \mathfrak{N}) \end{array}$$

is Cartesian, where the vertical maps are the natural degeneracy maps.

Proof. The image of $\iota_{M(\ell),\mathfrak{N},a}$ is the modular curve of level

$$\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{A}_f) \cap u_a^{-1} U^*(M(\ell), \mathfrak{N}) u_a.$$

An easy computation shows that this intersection is precisely those $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} r & s \\ t & u \end{smallmatrix}\right) \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\hat{\mathbf{Z}})$ such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} r+at & s+a(u-r)-a^2t \\ t & -at+u \end{pmatrix} = 1 \bmod \begin{pmatrix} M & \ell M \\ \mathfrak{N} & \mathfrak{N} \end{pmatrix}$$

and since we are assuming $\ell M \mid \mathfrak{N}$, we conclude that $t = 0, u = 1 \bmod N$, $r = 1 \bmod M$, and $s + a(r-1) = 0 \bmod \ell M$, so that $a(r-1) = 0$ in $\mathcal{O}_F/(\ell M + \mathbf{Z})$.

Since we assumed that a generates $\mathcal{O}_F/(\ell M + \mathbf{Z})$, it follows that $r-1$ is divisible by ℓM, and hence also that s is divisible by ℓM. So the intersection is equal to $U_{\mathbf{Q}}(\ell M, N)$, and $\iota_{M(\ell),\mathfrak{N},a}$ is an isomorphism onto its image, as required.

To obtain the Cartesian property in the case $\ell \mid M$ we simply compare degrees: both horizontal maps are injective, and both vertical maps are finite étale of degree ℓ^2, so we are done. □

We now consider the omitted case $\ell \nmid M$. Let $\tilde{a}$ be the unique element of $\mathcal{O}_F/\ell M$ such that $\tilde{a} = 0 \bmod \ell$ and $\tilde{a} = a \bmod M$. Then the following is easily verified:

Lemma 7.3.2. *Let $\gamma : Y_{\mathbf{Q}}(M(\ell), N) \to Y^*(M(\ell), \mathfrak{N})$ be the diagonal map ι composed with the action of $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \tilde{a} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Then, the following diagram is Cartesian:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y_{\mathbf{Q}}(\ell M, N) \sqcup Y_{\mathbf{Q}}(M(\ell), N) & \xrightarrow{(\iota_{M(\ell),\mathfrak{N},a}, \gamma)} & Y^*(M(\ell), \mathfrak{N}) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle (\hat{\mathrm{pr}}_{1,\ell}, \hat{\mathrm{pr}}_{1,(\ell)})} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \hat{\mathrm{pr}}_{1,(\ell)}} \\ Y_{\mathbf{Q}}(M, N) & \xrightarrow{\iota_{M,\mathfrak{N},a}} & Y^*(M, \mathfrak{N}). \end{array}$$

□

With these ingredients in place, the proof of the Theorem 7.1.2a proceeds exactly as in [KLZ15b, Theorem 5.4.4]:

Proof of Theorem 7.1.2a. We first consider the case $\ell \mid M$. In this case, the cartesian diagram of Lemma 7.3.1 shows that the pushforward of ${}_c\mathcal{AI}^{[j]}_{\ell M,N,a}$ along the degeneracy map $Y^*(M\ell, \mathfrak{N}) \to Y^*(M(\ell), \mathfrak{N})$ is equal to the pullback of ${}_c\mathcal{AI}^{[j]}_{M,N,a}$ along the natural degeneracy map $Y^*(M(\ell), \mathfrak{N}) \to Y^*(M, \mathfrak{N})$.

Hence the image of ${}_c\mathcal{AI}^{[j]}_{\ell M,N,a}$ under $(\hat{\mathrm{pr}}_{2,\ell})_*$ is equal to the image of ${}_c\mathcal{AI}^{[j]}_{M,N,a}$ under the composition $(\hat{\mathrm{pr}}_{2,(\ell)})_* \circ (\hat{\mathrm{pr}}_{1,(\ell)})^*$, where

$$\hat{\mathrm{pr}}_{1,(\ell)}, \hat{\mathrm{pr}}_{2,(\ell)} : Y^*(M(\ell), \mathfrak{N}) \to Y^*(M, \mathfrak{N})$$

are respectively the natural degeneracy map and the "twisted" degeneracy map induced by $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & \\ & \ell \end{smallmatrix}\right)$. This composition $(\hat{\mathrm{pr}}_{2,(\ell)})_* \circ (\hat{\mathrm{pr}}_{1,(\ell)})^*$ is exactly the definition of the Hecke operator $U'(\ell)$.

In the case $\ell \nmid M$, the same argument using Lemma 7.3.2 shows that

$$U'(\ell) \cdot {}_c\mathcal{AI}^{[j]}_{M,N,a} = \hat{\mathrm{pr}}_{2,\ell *}\left({}_c\mathcal{AI}^{[j]}_{\ell M,N,a}\right) + (\hat{\mathrm{pr}}_{2,(\ell)*} \circ \gamma_* \circ CG^{[j]})({}_c\mathcal{EI}_N).$$

One checks that there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y_{\mathbf{Q}}(M(\ell), N) & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & Y^*(M(\ell), \mathfrak{N}) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \hat{\mathrm{pr}}_{2,(\ell)}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \hat{\mathrm{pr}}_{2,(\ell)}} \\ Y_{\mathbf{Q}}(M, N) & \xrightarrow{\iota_{M,N,\ell^{-1}a}} & Y^*(M, \mathfrak{N}). \end{array}$$

and by [KLZ15a, Theorem 4.3.3], the left-hand $\hat{\mathrm{pr}}_{2,(\ell)}$ sends ${}_c\mathcal{EI}_N$ to itself, but induces a factor of ℓ^j in the Clebsch–Gordan map (since it acts as an isogeny of

degree ℓ on the elliptic curve $\mathcal{E}$). This gives

$$\begin{aligned}(\hat{\mathrm{pr}}_{2,(\ell)*}\circ\gamma_*\circ CG^{[j]})({}_c\mathcal{EI}_N) &= (\iota_{M,N,\ell^{-1}a*}\circ\hat{\mathrm{pr}}_{2,(\ell)*}\circ CG^{[j]})({}_c\mathcal{EI}_N)\\ &= \ell^j(\iota_{M,N,\ell^{-1}a*}\circ CG^{[j]}\circ\hat{\mathrm{pr}}_{2,(\ell)*})({}_c\mathcal{EI}_N)\\ &= \ell^j(\iota_{M,N,\ell^{-1}a*}\circ CG^{[j]})({}_c\mathcal{EI}_N)\\ &= \ell^j\,{}_c\mathcal{AI}^{[j]}_{M,N,\ell^{-1}a} = \ell^j\sigma_\ell\cdot{}_c\mathcal{AI}^{[j]}_{M,N,\ell^{-1}a}.\end{aligned}$$ □

7.4. **Proof of Theorem 7.1.3a (inert primes).** Rather than attack Theorem 7.1.3a head-on, we shall attempt to deduce it from other simpler norm relations, using compatibilities in the Hecke algebra (the strategy introduced in [LLZ15, Appendix]). We first introduce some notation.

Let M be an integer, and $\mathfrak{N}$ an ideal of $\mathcal{O}_F$ divisible by M, as usual. Let $\mathfrak{a}$ be a prime ideal of $\mathcal{O}_F$ with a totally positive generator α. Then, as well as the obvious degeneracy map

$$\mathrm{pr}_{1,\alpha}: Y^*(M,\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{N})\to Y^*(M,N)$$

whose effect on Asai–Iwasawa elements was studied in Theorem 7.1.1a, there is a second degeneracy map

$$\mathrm{pr}_{2,\alpha}: Y^*(M,\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{N})\to Y^*(M,N)$$

given by $\tau\mapsto\alpha\tau$ on $\mathcal{H}_F$.

(The former map was denoted previously by $\mathrm{pr}_{1,\mathfrak{a}}$, since it is independent of α and makes sense whether or not $\mathfrak{a}$ is narrowly principal; but when a generator α exists, we use the alternative notation $\mathrm{pr}_{1,\alpha}$ for this map, for harmony with $\mathrm{pr}_{2,\alpha}$.)

For $a\in\mathbf{Z}_{\geq 1}$ we also have maps $Y_{\mathbf{Q}}(M,aN)\to Y_{\mathbf{Q}}(M,N)$ defined similarly, which we denote by the same symbols $\mathrm{pr}_{1,a}$, $\mathrm{pr}_{2,a}$.

Proposition 7.4.1. *Let $M\mid N$ be integers, and let ℓ be a prime. Then the Eisenstein–Iwasawa classes on $Y_{\mathbf{Q}}(M,\ell N)$ and $Y_{\mathbf{Q}}(M,N)$, considered with coefficients in $\Lambda_R(\mathcal{E})(1)$, satisfy the relation*

$$(\mathrm{pr}_{2,\ell})_*\,({}_c\mathcal{EI}_{\ell N}) = \begin{cases}\ell R'(\ell)\cdot{}_c\mathcal{EI}_N & \text{if } \ell\mid N,\\ \ell R'(\ell)\left(1-R'(\ell)\begin{pmatrix}\ell^{-1}&\\&\ell^{-1}\end{pmatrix}^*\right)\cdot{}_c\mathcal{EI}_N & \text{if } \ell\nmid N.\end{cases}$$

Proof. This is Corollary 4.3.6 of [KLZ15b]. (Note, however, that in *op.cit.* the class ${}_c\mathcal{EI}_N$ is considered to have coefficients in $\Lambda_{\mathbf{Z}_p}(\mathcal{E}\langle t_N\rangle)(1)$, so we must apply the map $[N]_*$ to obtain classes in $\Lambda_{\mathbf{Z}_p}(\mathcal{E})(1)$; since we are comparing classes with two different values of N this introduces a factor of $R'(\ell)$ which is not present in *op.cit.*.) □

Corollary 7.4.2. *Let $M\geq 1$, $\mathfrak{N}\lhd\mathcal{O}_F$ divisible by M, and ℓ prime. Then*

$$(\mathrm{pr}_{2,\ell})_*\left({}_c\mathcal{AI}^{[j]}_{M,\ell\mathfrak{N},a}\right) = \begin{cases}\ell^{1-j}R'(\ell)\cdot{}_c\mathcal{AI}^{[j]}_{M,\mathfrak{N},\ell a} & \text{if } \ell\mid\mathfrak{N},\\ \ell^{1-j}R'(\ell)\sigma_\ell^{-1}\left(1-\ell^{-2j}\langle\ell^{-1}\rangle R'(\ell)\sigma_\ell^{-2}\right)\cdot{}_c\mathcal{AI}^{[j]}_{M,\mathfrak{N},a} & \text{if } \ell\nmid\mathfrak{N}.\end{cases}$$

Proof. This follows from the previous proposition and commutativity of pushforward maps around the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y_{\mathbf{Q}}(M,\ell N) & \xrightarrow{u_a\circ\iota} & Y^*(M,\ell\mathfrak{N})\\ \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{pr}_{2,\ell}} & & \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{pr}_{2,\ell}}\\ Y_{\mathbf{Q}}(M,N) & \xrightarrow{u_{\ell a}\circ\iota} & Y^*(M,\mathfrak{N}).\end{array}$$

(The ℓ^j factors appear because of the failure of the map $CG^{[j]}$ to commute with the ℓ-isogeny φ_ℓ, exactly as in the $\mathrm{GL}_2\times\mathrm{GL}_2$ situation.) □

Proof of Theorem 7.1.3a for ℓ inert in F. We shall now prove Theorem 7.1.3a in the inert case. We are interested in the image of ${}_c\mathcal{AI}^{[j]}_{\ell M,\ell\mathfrak{N},a}$ under the map

$$Y(\ell M,\ell\mathfrak{N}) \xrightarrow{\hat{\mathrm{pr}}_{2,\ell}} Y(M,\ell\mathfrak{N}) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{pr}_{1,\ell}} Y(M,\mathfrak{N}).$$

By Theorem 7.1.2a (applied with $(\ell,M,\mathfrak{N})$ replaced by $(\ell,M,\ell\mathfrak{N})$), we know that

$$\hat{\mathrm{pr}}_{2,\ell*}\left({}_c\mathcal{AI}^{[j]}_{\ell M,\ell\mathfrak{N},a}\right) = (U'(\ell)-\ell^j\sigma_\ell)\cdot {}_c\mathcal{AI}^{[j]}_{M,\ell\mathfrak{N},a}.$$

A double coset computation shows that

$$\mathrm{pr}_{1,\ell*}\circ U'(\ell) = T'(\ell)\circ \mathrm{pr}_{1,\ell*} - \langle\ell^{-1}\rangle\circ \mathrm{pr}_{2,\ell*}\,. \tag{2}$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathrm{pr}_{1,\ell}\circ\hat{\mathrm{pr}}_{2,\ell})_*\left({}_c\mathcal{AI}^{[j]}_{\ell M,\ell\mathfrak{N},a}\right) &= \left[\mathrm{pr}_{1,\ell*}\circ(U'(\ell)-\ell^j\sigma_\ell)\right]{}_c\mathcal{AI}^{[j]}_{M,\ell\mathfrak{N},a}\\ &= \left[\left(T'(\ell)-\ell^j\sigma_\ell\right)\mathrm{pr}_{1,\ell*} - \langle\ell^{-1}\rangle\,\mathrm{pr}_{2,\ell*}\right]{}_c\mathcal{AI}^{[j]}_{M,\ell\mathfrak{N},a}.\end{aligned}$$

Substituting the formulae for $\mathrm{pr}_{1,\ell*}\left({}_c\mathcal{AI}^{[j]}_{M,\ell\mathfrak{N},a}\right)$ from Theorem 7.1.1a, and for $\mathrm{pr}_{2,\ell*}\left({}_c\mathcal{AI}^{[j]}_{M,\ell\mathfrak{N},a}\right)$ from Corollary 7.4.2, and rearranging, we obtain the theorem. □

7.5. **The case of split primes (sketch).** For completeness, we sketch the proof of case (ii) of Theorem 7.1.3a, in which ℓ is split in F and the primes $\mathfrak{l},\bar{\mathfrak{l}}$ above ℓ are narrowly principal. Thus there is a totally positive element λ such that $\mathfrak{l}=(\lambda)$, $\bar{\mathfrak{l}}=(\bar\lambda)$, and $\lambda\bar\lambda=\ell$. We fix, for the duration of this section, a choice of such a λ.

Theorem 7.5.1. *For any $a\in\mathcal{O}_F/(M\mathcal{O}_F+\mathbf{Z})$, the following relation holds, modulo p-torsion if $\ell=p$:*

$$\mathrm{pr}_{2,\lambda*}\left({}_c\mathcal{AI}^{[j]}_{M,\mathfrak{l}\mathfrak{N},a}\right) =$$

$$\begin{cases}\ell^{-j}R'(\lambda)\cdot U'(\bar\lambda)\cdot {}_c\mathcal{AI}^{[j]}_{M,\mathfrak{N},\ell a} & \text{if } \bar{\mathfrak{l}}\mid\mathfrak{N},\\ \sigma_\ell^{-1}\ell^{-j}R'(\lambda)\left(T'(\bar\lambda)-\sigma_\ell^{-1}\cdot\ell^{-j}R'(\bar\lambda)\cdot\langle\bar\lambda^{-1}\rangle\cdot U'(\lambda)\right){}_c\mathcal{AI}^{[j]}_{M,\mathfrak{N},a} & \text{if } \bar{\mathfrak{l}}\nmid\mathfrak{N} \text{ but } \mathfrak{l}\mid\mathfrak{N},\\ \sigma_\ell^{-1}\ell^{-j}R'(\lambda)\left(T'(\bar\lambda)-\sigma_\ell^{-1}\cdot\ell^{-j}R'(\bar\lambda)\cdot\langle\bar\lambda^{-1}\rangle\cdot T'(\lambda)\right)\cdot{}_c\mathcal{AI}^{[j]}_{M,\mathfrak{N},a} & \text{if } \mathfrak{l},\bar{\mathfrak{l}}\nmid\mathfrak{N}.\end{cases}$$

Proof. This is virtually identical to the proof of Theorem 5.5.1 of [KLZ15b] (which is the "degenerate case $F=\mathbf{Q}\oplus\mathbf{Q}$"). □

Proof of Theorem 7.1.3a for ℓ split in F. As in the inert case, we need to compute

$$\left[\mathrm{pr}_{1,\ell*}\circ\left(U'(\ell)-\ell^j\sigma_\ell\right)\right]{}_c\mathcal{AI}^{[j]}_{\mathfrak{M},\ell\mathfrak{N},a}.$$

We factor $\mathrm{pr}_{1,\ell}$ as the composite $\mathrm{pr}_{1,\bar\lambda}\circ\mathrm{pr}_{1,\lambda}$, and similarly $U'(\ell)=U'(\lambda)U'(\bar\lambda)$. Using the analogues of (2) with λ and $\bar\lambda$ in place of ℓ, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{pr}_{1,\ell*}\circ\left(U'(\ell)-\ell^j\sigma_\ell\right) &= \left(T'(\ell)-\ell^j\sigma_\ell\right)\mathrm{pr}_{1,\ell*}\\ &\quad-\langle\lambda^{-1}\rangle T'(\bar\lambda)\,\mathrm{pr}_{2,\lambda*}\,\mathrm{pr}_{1,\bar\lambda*} - \langle\bar\lambda^{-1}\rangle T'(\lambda)\,\mathrm{pr}_{1,\lambda*}\,\mathrm{pr}_{2,\bar\lambda*} + \langle\ell^{-1}\rangle\,\mathrm{pr}_{2,\ell*}\,.\end{aligned}$$

The effect of each of these four degeneracy maps $Y^*(M,\ell\mathfrak{N})\to Y^*(M,\mathfrak{N})$ on the Asai–Iwasawa element has been calculated above: $\mathrm{pr}_{1,\ell}$ by Theorem 7.1.1a, $\mathrm{pr}_{2,\ell}$ by Corollary 7.4.2, and the cross terms $\mathrm{pr}_{2,\lambda}\circ\mathrm{pr}_{1,\bar\lambda}$ and $\mathrm{pr}_{2,\lambda}\circ\mathrm{pr}_{1,\bar\lambda}$ by Theorem 7.5.1. Combining all of these ingredients and rearranging gives the theorem. □

Remark 7.5.2. We believe that Theorem 7.1.3a holds as stated without the assumption that the primes above ℓ be trivial in the narrow class group. However, we do not have a proof of this at present.

8. Cyclotomic twists

Our next goal is to show that the Asai–Flach elements can be interpolated as the twist parameter j varies. Recall that we defined

$$ {}_c\mathcal{AF}^{[j]}_{m,\mathfrak{N},a} \coloneqq (t_m)_* {}_c\mathcal{AI}^{[j]}_{m,m\mathfrak{N},a} $$

where the map

$$ t_m : Y^*(m, m\mathfrak{N}) \to Y_1^*(\mathfrak{N}) \times \mu_m^\circ, $$

acts on the modular surface as $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & m \end{smallmatrix}\right)$.

8.1. **Compatibility with cyclotomic twists.** We now set $m = p^r$. It is clear that t_{p^r} induces a map on the torsion sheaves $\mathscr{H}_{\mathcal{A},r} = \mathscr{H}_R(\mathcal{A})/p^r\mathscr{H}_R(\mathcal{A})$.

Notation 8.1.1. *For $\star \in \{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{A}\}$, write from now on $\mathscr{H}^k_{\star,r} = \mathrm{TSym}^k \mathscr{H}_{\star,r}$ and $\Lambda_{\star,r} = \Lambda_r(\mathscr{H}_{\star,r})$. Write $x_\star$ and $y_\star$ for the order p^r sections of $\mathscr{H}_{\star,r}$ over $Y_{\mathbf{Q}}(p^r, p^rN)$ (if $\star = \mathcal{E}$), resp. over $Y^*(m, m\mathfrak{N})$ (if $\star = \mathcal{A}$).*

Remark 8.1.2. The sections $\kappa^*(x_{\mathcal{A}})$ and $\kappa^*(y_{\mathcal{A}})$ agree with the images of $x_{\mathcal{E}}$ and $y_{\mathcal{E}}$ under the map

$$ H^0(Y_{\mathbf{Q}}(p^r, p^rN), \mathscr{H}_{\mathcal{E},r}) \longrightarrow H^0(Y_{\mathbf{Q}}(p^r, p^rN), \kappa^*\mathscr{H}_{\mathcal{A},r}) $$

induced by the injection $\mathscr{H}_{\mathcal{E},r} \hookrightarrow \kappa^*\mathscr{H}_{\mathcal{A},r}$.

Remark 8.1.3. On $H^0(Y^*(p^r, p^r\mathfrak{N}), \mathrm{TSym}^{[k,k']} \mathscr{H}_{\mathcal{A},r})$, the map $(u_a)_* = (u_{-a})^*$ sends $x_{\mathcal{A}}^{[i]} y_{\mathcal{A}}^{[k-i]} \otimes x_{\mathcal{A}}^{[l]} y_{\mathcal{A}}^{[k'-l]}$ to $x_{\mathcal{A}}^{[i]} y_{\mathcal{A}}^{[k-i]} \otimes (x_{\mathcal{A}} - a y_{\mathcal{A}})^{[l]} y_{\mathcal{A}}^{[k'-l]}$.

Theorem 8.1.4. *The following diagram commutes:*

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
H^1_{\text{ét}}\big(Y(p^r, p^rN), \Lambda^{[0,0]}_{\mathcal{E},r}(1)\big) & \xrightarrow{\cup y_{\mathcal{E}}^{\otimes 2j}} & H^1_{\text{ét}}\big(Y(p^r, p^rN), \Lambda^{[j,j]}_{\mathcal{E},r}(1)\big) \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle CG^{[j]}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle (a-\overline{a})^j j!} \\
H^1_{\text{ét}}\big(Y(p^r, p^rN), \iota^*\Lambda^{[j,j]}_{\mathcal{A},r}(1-j)\big) & & H^1_{\text{ét}}\big(Y(p^r, p^rN), \iota^*\Lambda^{[j,j]}_{\mathcal{A},r}(1)\big) \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle \iota_*} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \iota_*} \\
H^3_{\text{ét}}\big(Y^*(p^r, p^r\mathfrak{N}), \Lambda^{[j,j]}_{\mathcal{A},r}(2-j)\big) & & H^3_{\text{ét}}\big(Y^*(p^r, p^r\mathfrak{N}), \Lambda^{[j,j]}_{\mathcal{A},r}(2)\big) \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle (u_a)_*} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle (u_a)_*} \\
H^3_{\text{ét}}\big(Y^*(p^r, p^r\mathfrak{N}), \Lambda^{[j,j]}_{\mathcal{A},r}(2-j)\big) & & H^3_{\text{ét}}\big(Y^*(p^r, p^r\mathfrak{N}), \Lambda^{[j,j]}_{\mathcal{A},r}(2)\big) \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle (t_{p^r})_*} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle (t_{p^r})_*} \\
H^3_{\text{ét}}\big(Y_1(\mathfrak{N}) \times \mu^\circ_{p^r}, \Lambda^{[j,j]}_{\mathcal{A},r}(2-j)\big) & \xrightarrow{\cup(\zeta_{p^r})^{\otimes j}} & H^3_{\text{ét}}\big(Y_1(\mathfrak{N}) \times \mu^\circ_{p^r}, \Lambda^{[j,j]}_{\mathcal{A},r}(2)\big).
\end{array}
$$

Proof. The proof is basically identical to the proof of [KLZ15b, Theorem 6.2.4]. □

Corollary 8.1.5. *We have*

$$ (a-\overline{a})^j j! (1 \otimes \mathrm{mom}^{[j,j]}) \left({}_c\mathcal{AF}^{[0]}_{p^r,\mathfrak{N},a,r} \right) = {}_c\mathcal{AF}^{[j]}_{p^r,\mathfrak{N},a,r} \otimes \zeta_{p^r}^{\otimes j}. \quad \square $$

8.2. **Cyclotomic twists of Asai–Flach elements.** Note that for any integers $0 \leq j \leq \min(k, k')$ we have maps

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{id} \otimes \mathrm{mom}_r^{[j,j]} &: \Lambda_r \otimes \Lambda_r \longrightarrow \Lambda_r^{[j,j]}, \\ \mathrm{mom}_r^{[k-j,k'-j]} \otimes \mathrm{id} &: \Lambda_r^{[j,j]} \longrightarrow \left(\mathrm{TSym}^{[k-j,k'-j]}\, \mathscr{H}_{\mathcal{A},r}\right) \otimes \left(\mathrm{TSym}^{[j,j]}\, \mathscr{H}_{\mathcal{A},r}\right). \end{aligned}$$

We write $\mathrm{mom}_r^{[k-j,k'-j]} \cdot \mathrm{id}$ for the composition of $\mathrm{mom}_r^{[k-j,k'-j]} \otimes \mathrm{id}$ with the symmetrised tensor product map

$$\left(\mathrm{TSym}^{[k-j,k'-j]}\, \mathscr{H}_{\mathcal{A},r}\right) \otimes \left(\mathrm{TSym}^{[j,j]}\, \mathscr{H}_{\mathcal{A},r}\right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{TSym}^{[k,k']}\, \mathscr{H}_{\mathcal{A},r}.$$

Denote by u the natural morphism of sheaves $\Lambda_{\mathcal{A},r} \to \Lambda_{\mathcal{A},r} \otimes \Lambda_{\mathcal{A},r}$.

Lemma 8.2.1. *For all $0 \leq j \leq k$, we have the following identity of moment maps:*

$$(\mathrm{mom}_r^{[k-j,k'-j]} \cdot \mathrm{id}) \circ (1 \otimes \mathrm{mom}_r^{[j,j]}) \circ u = \tbinom{k}{j}\tbinom{k'}{j}\, \mathrm{mom}_r^{[k,k']}.$$

Proof. See [KLZ15b, Lemma 6.3.1]. □

Notation 8.2.2. *Let $e'_{\mathrm{ord}} = \lim_{n\to\infty} U'(p)^{n!}$ be the ordinary idempotent attached to $U'(p)$; and let $\Lambda_\Gamma(-\mathbf{j})$ be the Iwasawa algebra of $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbf{Q}(\mu_{p^\infty})/\mathbf{Q})$, with $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}/\mathbf{Q})$ acting by the inverse of the canonical character $\mathbf{j}$, as in* [KLZ15b, Notation 6.3.3].

Theorem 8.2.3. *For any prime $p \geq 3$, $\mathfrak{N}$ an ideal with $p \mid \mathfrak{N}$, $m \geq 1$ an integer coprime to p, and $c > 1$ coprime to $6pm\,\mathrm{Nm}(\mathfrak{N})$, there is a class*

$${}_c\mathcal{AF}_{m,\mathfrak{N},a} \in H^3_{\text{ét}}(Y_1^*(\mathfrak{N}) \times \mu_m^\circ, \Lambda_R(\mathscr{H}_{\mathcal{A}}) \otimes \Lambda_\Gamma(2-\mathbf{j}))$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned} &(\mathrm{mom}^{[k,k']} \otimes \mathrm{mom}_\Gamma^j)\,({}_c\mathcal{AF}_{m,\mathfrak{N},a}) \\ &\qquad = \left(1 - p^j (U'_p)^{-1} \sigma_p\right) \left(c^2 - c^{-(k+k'-2j)} \langle c \rangle \sigma_c^2\right) \frac{e'_{\mathrm{ord}}\left({}_c\mathcal{AF}^{[j]}_{m,\mathfrak{N},a}\right)}{(a-\overline{a})^j\, j! \tbinom{k}{j}\tbinom{k'}{j}}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Analogous to the proof of [KLZ15b, Theorem 6.3.3].

□

9. Iwasawa theory

9.1. **Iwasawa cohomology classes for ordinary eigenforms.** Let $\mathcal{F}$ be an eigenform, with eigenvalues in some coefficient field L and weight $(k+2, k'+2, t, t')$, with $k, k' \geq 0$. Let p be a prime dividing the level $\mathfrak{N}$, and unramified in $F/\mathbf{Q}$.

Definition 9.1.1. *We say an eigenform $\mathcal{F}$ is* ordinary at p *(with respect to some choice of prime $v \mid p$ of L) if its eigenvalue $\alpha_p(\mathcal{F})$ for the Hecke operator $U(p) = p^{-(t+t')}\mathcal{U}(p)$ is a unit at v.*

Theorem 9.1.2. *If $\mathcal{F}$ is an ordinary eigenform, then for any $m \geq 1$ coprime to p, and any $c > 1$ coprime to $6pm\,\mathrm{Nm}(\mathfrak{N})$, there exists a class*

$${}_c\mathcal{AF}^{\mathcal{F}}_{M,a} \in H^1\left(\mathbf{Z}[\mu_m, \tfrac{1}{mp\,\mathrm{Nm}(\mathfrak{N})}], M_{L_v}(\mathcal{F})^* \otimes \Lambda_\Gamma(-\mathbf{j})\right)$$

such that, for every $0 \leq j \leq \min(k, k')$ and $r \geq 0$, the image of ${}_c\mathcal{AF}^{\mathcal{F}}_{m,a}$ in $H^1(\mathbf{Z}[\mu_{mp^r}, \frac{1}{mp\,\mathrm{Nm}\,\mathfrak{N}}], M_{L_v}(\mathcal{F})^*(-j))$ is given by

$$\frac{(c^2 - c^{2j-k-k'}\varepsilon_{\mathcal{F}}(c)\sigma_c^2)}{(a-\bar{a})^j\, j! \tbinom{k}{j}\tbinom{k'}{j}} \cdot \begin{cases} \alpha_p(\mathcal{F})^{-r}\, \mathrm{AF}^{[\mathcal{F},j]}_{\text{ét},mp^r,a} & \text{if } r \geq 1, \\ \left(1 - \frac{p^j \sigma_p}{\alpha_p(\mathcal{F})}\right) \mathrm{AF}^{[\mathcal{F},j]}_{\text{ét},m,a} & \text{if } r = 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Since $\mathcal{F}$ is ordinary, then the projection map $\mathrm{pr}_{\mathcal{F}}$ factors through the ordinary projector e'_{ord}. We can therefore apply Theorem 8.2.3, which shows that the images of the Λ-adic Asai–Flach classes for different j under the ordinary projector are interpolated by an Iwasawa cohomology class. We define ${}_c\mathcal{AF}^{\mathcal{F}}_{m,a}$ to be the image of this class under $\mathrm{pr}_{\mathcal{F}}$; the defining propert of the class in Theorem 8.2.3 gives the stated interpolation formula. □

This is the first part of Theorem B of the introduction.

Remark 9.1.3. Exactly as in the Rankin–Selberg case, if the Dirichlet character obtained by restricting $\varepsilon_{\mathcal{F}}$ to $\hat{\mathbf{Z}}^\times$ does not have conductor dividing mp^∞, then we may multiply ${}_c\mathcal{AF}^{\mathcal{F}}_{m,a}$ by a suitable element of $\Lambda_\Gamma \otimes L_v[\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbf{Q}(\mu_m)/\mathbf{Q})]$ to dispense with the c factors. Cf. [LLZ14, §6.8.1].

9.2. **Local properties at p.** We now turn to the second part of Theorem B, which is a description of the localisation of ${}_c\mathcal{AF}^{\mathcal{F}}_{m,a}$ at p. If $\mathcal{F}$ is ordinary, then (by a well-known theorem of Taylor) for each prime $\mathfrak{p} \mid p$ of F, the restriction of $\rho^{\mathrm{std}}_{\mathcal{F},v}$ to the decomposition group $D_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is upper-triangular, and the diagonal entries are given explicitly in terms of Hecke eigenvalues at $\mathfrak{p}$. We record the consequences of this for the Asai representation:

Theorem 9.2.1 (Taylor)**.** *If $\mathcal{F}$ is ordinary, then the restriction of $M_{L_v}(\mathcal{F})^*$ to the decomposition group at p has a 3-step filtration*

$$M_{L_v}(\mathcal{F})^* = \mathrm{Fil}^0 \supset \mathrm{Fil}^1 \supset \mathrm{Fil}^2 \supset \mathrm{Fil}^3 = 0$$

in which the graded pieces have dimensions 1, 2 and 1 respectively; and the quotient $\mathrm{Gr}^0 M_{L_v}(\mathcal{F})^* = \mathrm{Fil}^0 / \mathrm{Fil}^1$ is unramified, with arithmetic Frobenius acting via the $U(p)$-eigenvalue $\alpha_p(\mathcal{F})$.

With this in hand, we can complete the proof of Theorem B:

Corollary 9.2.2. *The image of ${}_c\mathcal{AF}^{\mathcal{F}}_{m,a}$ in $H^1\left(\mathbf{Q}_p, \mathrm{Gr}^0 M_{L_v}(\mathcal{F})^* \otimes \Lambda_\Gamma(-\mathbf{j})\right)$ is zero.*

Proof. Since ${}_c\mathcal{AF}^{[\mathcal{F},j]}_{mp^r,a}$ is the image of a motivic class for $0 \le j \le \min(k,k')$ and $r \ge 0$, it must lie in the Bloch–Kato H^1_{g} subspace, by a theorem of Nekovář and Nizioł [NN13, Theorem B]. However, an Iwasawa cohomology class for an unramified Galois representation which is in H^1_{g} at every finite level must be zero, by [KLZ15b, Lemma 8.1.5]. □

9.3. **The motivic p-adic L-function.** We now assume p is split in F. Our coefficient field L is (by definition) a subfield of $\mathbf{C}$, containing the images of the two embeddings $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 : F \hookrightarrow \mathbf{R}$. Hence $\sigma_1^{-1}(v)$ is a place of F above p; we denote this place by $\mathfrak{p}$, and its Galois conjugate by $\mathfrak{q}$.

We let $\alpha_p = p^{-(t+t')}\mu(p)$, where $\mu(p)$ is the eigenvalue of $\mathcal{F}$ for the normalised Hecke operator $\mathcal{U}(p)$; by hypothesis, α_p is a p-adic unit. We let $\alpha_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $\alpha_{\mathfrak{q}}$ be the eigenvalues for $p^{-t}\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{p})$ and $p^{-t'}\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{q})$. These are also p-adic units, and $\alpha_p = \alpha_{\mathfrak{p}}\alpha_{\mathfrak{q}}$.

For convenience we shall also assume that $p \| \mathfrak{N}$, and that $\mathcal{F}$ is a p-stabilisation of an eigenform of level $\mathfrak{N}/p$. In particular, the conductor of the character ε of $\mathcal{F}$ is coprime to p, so $\varepsilon(\mathfrak{p})$ and $\varepsilon(\mathfrak{q})$ are defined. We set $\beta_{\mathfrak{p}} = p^{k+1}\varepsilon(\mathfrak{p})/\alpha_{\mathfrak{p}}$, $\beta_{\mathfrak{q}} = p^{k'+1}\varepsilon(\mathfrak{q})/\alpha_{\mathfrak{q}}$; then the eigenvalues of Frobenius on $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(M_{L_v}(\mathcal{F}))$ are

$$\{\alpha_{\mathfrak{p}}\alpha_{\mathfrak{q}}, \beta_{\mathfrak{p}}\alpha_{\mathfrak{q}}, \alpha_{\mathfrak{p}}\beta_{\mathfrak{q}}, \beta_{\mathfrak{p}}\beta_{\mathfrak{q}}\}.$$

We shall impose the following hypothesis:

- (NEZ, for "no exceptional zero"): None of these four quantities are powers of p; equivalently, the local Euler factor $P_p(\mathcal{F}, X)$ does not vanish at p^{-j} for any $j \in \mathbf{Z}$.

Remark 9.3.1. All four quantities are p-Weil numbers of weight $k+k'+2$, and their p-adic valuations are $\{0, k+1, k'+1, k+k'+2\}$, so hypothesis (NEZ) is automatic if $k \neq k'$.

Lemma 9.3.2. *There exists a 1-dimensional quotient* $\mathrm{Gr}^1 M_{L_v}(\mathcal{F})^* \twoheadrightarrow M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ *which is crystalline of Hodge–Tate weight* $k'+1$*, and such that Frobenius acts on* $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}})$ *by* $(\alpha_{\mathfrak{p}}\beta_{\mathfrak{q}})^{-1}$. □

Remark 9.3.3. In almost all cases $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is uniquely determined. The exception is if $k = k'$ and $\alpha_{\mathfrak{p}}\beta_{\mathfrak{q}} = \beta_{\mathfrak{p}}\alpha_{\mathfrak{q}}$; in this case $\mathrm{Gr}^1 M_{L_v}(\mathcal{F})^*$ is isomorphic to the direct sum of two copies of the same representation, and we simply choose an arbitrary 1-dimensional quotient. Note that this case *always* occurs if $\mathcal{F}$ is a base-change from $\mathrm{GL}_2/\mathbf{Q}$.

Definition 9.3.4. *We write*

$$\mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{PR}} : H^1(\mathbf{Q}_p, M_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes \Lambda_\Gamma(-\mathbf{j})) \to \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} \Lambda_\Gamma$$

for the Perrin-Riou big logarithm map.

Because of hypothesis (NEZ), this map is an isomorphism of $L_v \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} \Lambda_\Gamma$-modules. It is characterized by the following interpolation property: for any character of Γ of the form $j+\eta$, with $j \in \mathbf{Z}$ and η a finite-order character of conductor p^r, then (after extending L if necessary, so that η takes values in $L_v^\times$) we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^1(\mathbf{Q}_p, M_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes \Lambda_\Gamma(-\mathbf{j})) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{PR}}} & \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} \Lambda_\Gamma \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H^1(\mathbf{Q}_p, M_{\mathfrak{p}}(-j-\eta)) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) \end{array}$$

in which the vertical arrows are given by specialisation at $\mathbf{j} = j+\eta$, and the bottom horizontal arrow is given by

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \left(1 - \frac{p^j}{\alpha_{\mathfrak{p}}\beta_{\mathfrak{q}}}\right)\left(1 - \frac{\alpha_{\mathfrak{p}}\beta_{\mathfrak{q}}}{p^{1+j}}\right)^{-1} & \text{if } r = 0 \\ \left(\frac{p^{1+j}}{\alpha_{\mathfrak{p}}\beta_{\mathfrak{q}}}\right)^r G(\eta^{-1})^{-1} & \text{if } r \geq 1 \end{array} \right\} \cdot \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \frac{(-1)^{k'-j}}{(k'-j)!}\log & \text{if } j \leq k', \\ (j-k'-1)!\exp^* & \text{if } j > k' \end{array} \right.$$

Here $G(\eta^{-1}) = \sum_{a \in (\mathbf{Z}/p^r\mathbf{Z})^\times} \eta(a)^{-1}\zeta_{p^r}^a$ is the Gauss sum, and log and $\exp^*$ are the Bloch–Kato logarithm and dual-exponential maps for the de Rham representation $M_{\mathfrak{p}}(-j-\eta)$. Cf. [KLZ15b, Theorem 8.2.8].

Attached to the eigenform $\mathcal{F}$, we have the Asai–Flach class ${}_c\mathcal{AF}_{1,a}^{\mathcal{F}}$. The localisation of this class at p maps to zero in $\mathrm{Gr}^0 M_{L_v}(\mathcal{F})^*$, as we have seen; so we may consider it as a class in the Iwasawa cohomology of $\mathrm{Gr}^1 M_{L_v}(\mathcal{F})^*$, and project it to the quotient $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

Definition 9.3.5. *We define*

$${}_cL^{\mathrm{imp}}_{\mathfrak{p},\mathrm{Asai}}(\mathcal{F}) = (\mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{PR}} \circ \mathrm{pr}_{M_{\mathfrak{p}}} \circ \mathrm{loc}_p)\left({}_c\mathcal{AF}_{1,a}^{\mathcal{F}}\right) \in \Lambda_\Gamma \otimes \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}),$$

and

$$L^{\mathrm{imp}}_{\mathfrak{p},\mathrm{Asai}}(\mathcal{F}) = \left(c^2 - c^{2\mathbf{j}-k-k'}\varepsilon_{\mathcal{F}}(c)\right)^{-1} {}_cL^{\mathrm{imp}}_{\mathfrak{p},\mathrm{Asai}}(\mathcal{F}) \in \mathrm{Frac}\,\Lambda_\Gamma \otimes \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}})$$

(which is independent of c*). Finally, we set*

$$L_{\mathfrak{p},\mathrm{Asai}}(\mathcal{F}) = \left(\prod_{\ell \mid \mathrm{Nm}(\mathfrak{N})} C_\ell(\ell^{-1-\mathbf{j}})^{-1}\right) L^{\mathrm{imp}}_{\mathfrak{p},\mathrm{Asai}}(\mathcal{F}) \in \mathrm{Frac}\,\Lambda_\Gamma \otimes \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}),$$

where $C_\ell \in L[X]$ *are the polynomials from Definition 5.1.2.*

Remark 9.3.6.

(1) Note that $L^{\mathrm{imp}}_{\mathfrak{p},\mathrm{Asai}}(\mathcal{F})$ can be viewed as a p-adic meromorphic function on the weight space $\mathcal{W} = \mathrm{Spec}\,\Lambda_\Gamma$. It is analytic everywhere if $\varepsilon_{\mathcal{F}}|_{\hat{\mathbf{Z}}^\times}$ is non-trivial, and if $\varepsilon_{\mathcal{F}}|_{\hat{\mathbf{Z}}^\times}$ is trivial then it has at most two poles, one at $\mathbf{j} = \frac{k+k'}{2}+1$ and the other at $\mathbf{j} = \frac{k+k'}{2}+1+\eta$ where η is the nontrivial quadratic character of Γ.

(2) The definition of these L-functions still makes sense if (NEZ) is not satisfied; in this case $\mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{PR}}$ takes values in $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) \otimes I^{-1}$, where I is a certain ideal in Λ_Γ.

(3) Thus there are three possible sources of poles for the primitive L-function $L_{\mathfrak{p},\mathrm{Asai}}$ in general: those arising from the cancellation of the c factor, those arising from zeroes of the polynomials C_ℓ, and those arising from singularities of the Perrin–Riou map when (NEZ) does not hold. We expect, nonetheless, that if $\mathcal{F}$ is non-CM and not twist-equivalent to $\mathcal{F}^\sigma$, then $L_{\mathfrak{p},\mathrm{Asai}}(\mathcal{F})$ should be analytic everywhere.

We formulate the following conjecture relating the p-adic and complex L-functions:

Conjecture 9.3.7. *Suppose $k > k'$, and let j be an integer with $k' < j \leq k$. Then $L_{\mathfrak{p},\mathrm{Asai}}(\mathcal{F})$ and $L^{\mathrm{imp}}_{\mathfrak{p},\mathrm{Asai}}(\mathcal{F})$ are analytic at $\mathbf{j} = j$, and we have*

$$L_{\mathfrak{p},\mathrm{Asai}}(\mathcal{F})(j) = 0 \Longleftrightarrow L_{\mathrm{Asai}}(\mathcal{F}, 1+j) = 0,$$
$$L^{\mathrm{imp}}_{\mathfrak{p},\mathrm{Asai}}(\mathcal{F})(j) = 0 \Longleftrightarrow L^{\mathrm{imp}}_{\mathrm{Asai}}(\mathcal{F}, 1+j) = 0.$$

Remark 9.3.8. As we have emphasised in the introduction, we **cannot** prove this conjecture, so we cannot rule out the possibility that $L^{\mathrm{imp}}_{\mathfrak{p},\mathrm{Asai}}(\mathcal{F})$ is identically zero.

9.4. **Big image results.** In this section, we do not need the assumption that $\mathcal{F}$ be ordinary, that $p \mid \mathfrak{N}$, or that p be split (but we *do* continue to assume that the weights of $\mathcal{F}$ are both ≥ 2). We also assume, throughout this section, that:

(1) $\mathcal{F}$ is not of CM type;
(2) $\mathcal{F}$ is not twist-equivalent to its conjugate $\mathcal{F}^\sigma$ (which is automatic if $k \neq k'$).

We have defined above Galois representations $\rho^{\mathrm{Asai}}_{\mathcal{F},v}$ and $\rho^{\mathrm{std}}_{\mathcal{F},v}$, for every prime v of L, which are unique up to conjugation in $\mathrm{GL}_2(L_v)$. After conjugating appropriately, we can and do assume that the images of these representations lie in $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_{L,v})$.

Proposition 9.4.1. *The representation $\rho^{\mathrm{Asai}}_{\mathcal{F},v}$ is absolutely irreducible, and remains so as a representation of $G_{F^{\mathrm{ab}}}$, for all primes v of L. For all but finitely many v this remains true after reduction modulo v.*

Proof. The characteristic 0 statement, for all v, follows from [Nek16, Remark 5.21]; so let us prove the statement regarding reduction modulo v for almost all v.

We first consider the case where $\mathcal{F}$ is not only not twist-equivalent to $\mathcal{F}^\sigma$, but is not twist-equivalent to any Galois conjugate of $\mathcal{F}^\sigma$. Then we may apply [Loe16, Theorem 3.4.1] to $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{F}^\sigma$. The theorem is stated in *op.cit.* for elliptic modular forms, but it applies also to Hilbert modular forms (as noted in Remark 2.3.2 of *op.cit.*). This shows that there is a subfield K of L such that for all but finitely many v, the image of $G_{F^{\mathrm{ab}}}$ under $\rho^{\mathrm{std}}_{\mathcal{F},v} \times \rho^{\mathrm{std}}_{\mathcal{F}^\sigma,v}$ is $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathcal{O}_{K,w}) \times \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathcal{O}_{K,w})$, where w is the prime of K below v. Hence the tensor product of these two representations is irreducible mod v as a representation of $G_{F^{\mathrm{ab}}}$, and this coincides with the restriction of $\rho^{\mathrm{Asai}}_{\mathcal{F},v}$.

We now consider the case where $\mathcal{F}$ is Galois-conjugate to a twist of $\mathcal{F}^\sigma$, but not equal to a twist of $\mathcal{F}^\sigma$. In this case, the same argument shows that for almost all v, either the image of $\rho^{\mathrm{std}}_{\mathcal{F},v} \times \rho^{\mathrm{std}}_{\mathcal{F}^\sigma,v}$ is $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathcal{O}_{K,w}) \times \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathcal{O}_{K,w})$, or $[K_w : \mathbf{Q}_p] > 1$

and it is conjugate to the image of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathcal{O}_{K,w})$ under a map of the form (id, α) for some $\alpha \in \mathrm{Gal}(K_w/\mathbf{Q}_p)$. Finally, α cannot be the identity, since otherwise $\mathcal{F}$ would be twist-equivalent to $\mathcal{F}^\sigma$. Since the tensor product of the standard representation and its conjugate by α is residually irreducible, we are done. □

Proposition 9.4.2. *Suppose there is at least one ramified prime of F which does not divide the level of $\mathcal{F}$.*

If $\mathcal{F}$ is not Galois-conjugate to any twist of $\mathcal{F}^\sigma$, then, for all but finitely many primes v of L, there exists an element $\tau \in G_{\mathbf{Q}(\mu_{p^\infty})}$ such that:

- *$\tau \notin G_F$;*
- *$T/(\tau - 1)T$ is free of rank 1 over $\mathbf{Z}_p$, for T any $G_{\mathbf{Q}}$-invariant $\mathbf{Z}_p$-lattice in $\rho^{\mathrm{Asai}}_{\mathcal{F},v}$.*

If $\mathcal{F}$ is Galois-conjugate to a twist of $\mathcal{F}^\sigma$ (but not equal to any twist of $\mathcal{F}^\sigma$), then the above conclusion holds for all degree 1 primes v of $\mathcal{F}$.

Propositions 9.4.1 and 9.4.2 together imply that for a large set of primes v, all $G_{\mathbf{Q}}$-stable $\mathbf{Z}_p$-lattices T in $\rho^{\mathrm{Asai}}_{\mathcal{F},v}$ satisfy the hypothesis $\mathrm{Hyp}(K_\infty, T)$ of [Rub00, §2.3], where K_∞ is the cyclotomic $\mathbf{Z}_p$-extension of K. The proof of Proposition 9.4.2 will take several steps. We assume without loss of generality that L is the smallest extension of $\mathbf{Q}$ containing the Hecke eigenvalues of $\mathcal{F}$.

Definition 9.4.3. *An* inner twist *of $\mathcal{F}$ is a pair (α, χ), where α is an embedding $L \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbf{Q}}$ and χ is a finite-order $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$-valued Hecke character of F, such that $\alpha(\mathcal{F}) \sim \mathcal{F} \otimes \chi$ (where the notation $\sim$ means that these two eigenforms are associated to a common newform).*

One knows that if (α, χ) is an inner twist, then $\alpha(L) = L$ and χ takes values in L; since $\mathcal{F}$ is non-CM-type, χ is uniquely determined by α, and the $\alpha \in \mathrm{Gal}(L/\mathbf{Q})$ which give inner twists are precisely those which are trivial on the subfield $K \subseteq L$ generated by the quotients $\lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathcal{F})^2/\varepsilon(\mathfrak{p})$, as $\mathfrak{p}$ ranges over primes of F. Moreover, for all inner twists (α, χ), the character χ is unramified outside the primes dividing the level of $\mathcal{F}$.

Note that $(\alpha, \chi) \to (\alpha, \chi^\sigma)$ gives a bijection between the inner twists of $\mathcal{F}$ and those of $\mathcal{F}^\sigma$.

Lemma 9.4.4. *Suppose there is at least one ramified prime of F which does not divide the level of $\mathcal{F}$.*

Then, for all but finitely many primes p, there exists $\tau \in G_{\mathbf{Q}} - G_F$ such that τ acts trivially on $\mathbf{Q}(\mu_{p^\infty})$, and for any inner twist (α, χ) of $\mathcal{F}$ or $\mathcal{F}^\sigma$, we have $\chi(\tau^2) = 1$.

Proof. By assumption, there is some prime $\ell \mid D$ which is coprime to the level of $\mathcal{F}$, and hence coprime to the conductors of all of the Dirichlet characters $\chi|_{\hat{\mathbf{Z}}^\times}$ where (α, χ) varies over the inner twists of $\mathcal{F}$ or $\mathcal{F}^\sigma$. Therefore, we may find primes q which are quadratic non-residues modulo D and such that $\chi(q) = 1$ for all such q.

Let F' be the finite abelian extension of F cut out by all of the characters χ and χ^σ. Then $F'/\mathbf{Q}$ is Galois, and if τ_0 is the conjugacy class of any q as above, we have $\tau_0^2 = 1$ in $\mathrm{Gal}(F'/F)$.

If p is not one of the finitely many primes ramifying in $F'/\mathbf{Q}$, then F' is linearly disjoint from $\mathbf{Q}(\mu_{p^\infty})$ over $\mathbf{Q}$ (since one field is unramified at p and the other totally ramified). So we may find $\tau \in G_{\mathbf{Q}}$ which acts trivially on the cyclotomic field and as τ_0 on F', and this τ satisfies the conditions. □

Corollary 9.4.5. *In the setting of the previous lemma, if $\mathcal{F}$ is not Galois-conjugate to a twist of $\mathcal{F}^\sigma$, then for all but finitely many primes v of L we have*

$$\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathcal{O}_{K,w}) \subseteq \{\rho(\tau^2) : \tau \in G_{\mathbf{Q}(\mu_{p^\infty})}, \tau \notin G_F\},$$

where $\rho = \rho^{\mathrm{std}}_{\mathcal{F},v}$ and w is the prime of K below v. If $\mathcal{F}$ is Galois-conjugate to some twist of $\mathcal{F}^\sigma$, then this holds for all but finitely many v of degree 1.

Proof. Let τ be any element as in the previous lemma. Then $\rho(\tau^2)$ lies in $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathcal{O}_{K,w})$, since τ^2 is in the kernel of all the inner twists of $\mathcal{F}$ and of the cyclotomic character.

However, if τ satisfies the conclusions of the lemma, so does $\gamma\tau$ for any $\gamma \in G_{F^{\mathrm{ab}}}$; and replacing τ by $\gamma\tau$ replaces $\rho(\tau^2)$ by $\rho(\gamma)\rho(\tau^2)\rho(\tau^{-1}\gamma\tau)$.

If $\mathcal{F}$ is not Galois-conjugate to any twist of $\mathcal{F}^\sigma$, then (as we have seen in the proof of Proposition 9.4.1) as γ varies over $G_{F^{\mathrm{ab}}}$ the pair $(\rho(\gamma), \rho(\tau^{-1}\gamma\tau))$ hits every element of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathcal{O}_{K,w}) \times \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathcal{O}_{K,w})$, so in particular $\rho((\gamma\tau)^2)$ can take every value in $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathcal{O}_{K,w})$. The same holds if $\mathcal{F}$ is Galois-conjugate to some twist of $\mathcal{F}^\sigma$, as long as the automorphism of K mapping $\mathcal{F}$ to a twist of $\mathcal{F}^\sigma$ is not contained in the decomposition group of w; in particular this holds if $K_w = \mathbf{Q}_p$ as claimed. □

Proposition 9.4.6. *If $\tau \in G_{\mathbf{Q}}$, $\tau \notin G_F$, and $\rho^{\mathrm{std}}_{\mathcal{F},v}(\tau^2)$ is conjugate in $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_{L,v})$ to $\left(\begin{smallmatrix}1&1\\0&1\end{smallmatrix}\right)$, then $\rho^{\mathrm{Asai}}_{\mathcal{F},v}(\tau)$ is conjugate in $\mathrm{GL}_4(\mathcal{O}_{L,v})$ to $\left(\begin{smallmatrix}1&1&0&0\\0&1&1&0\\0&0&1&0\\0&0&0&-1\end{smallmatrix}\right)$. In particular, the module $\mathcal{O}_{L,v}^{\oplus 4}/(\rho^{\mathrm{Asai}}_{\mathcal{F},v}(\tau) - 1)$ is free of rank 1 over $\mathcal{O}_{L,v}$.*

Proof. This is a simple matrix computation. □

This completes the proof of Proposition 9.4.2.

9.5. **Bounding Selmer groups.** We now assume the hypotheses of both §9.4 and those of §9.1-9.3, and we suppose that the prime $v \mid p$ is such that the conclusions of Propositions 9.4.1 and 9.4.2 hold. We also assume that $p \geq k + k' + 3$.

Let $R = \mathcal{O}_{L,v}$. We let $M_R(\mathcal{F})^*$ be the R-submodule of $M_{L_v}(\mathcal{F})^*$ generated by the image of $H^2\left(Y_1^*(\mathfrak{N})_{\overline{\mathbf{Q}}}, \mathrm{TSym}^{[k,k']}\mathscr{H}_R(\mathcal{A})(2)\right)$; this is non-zero (by comparison with de Rham cohomology), and hence must be a lattice of full rank, since we are assuming v is large enough that $M_{L_v}(\mathcal{F})^*$ is irreducible.

Lemma 9.5.1. *For every finite extension $K/\mathbf{Q}$, every finite set of primes S containing all primes dividing $p\,\mathrm{Nm}(\mathfrak{N})$, and every $j \in \mathbf{Z}$, the projection map*

$$\mathrm{pr}_{\mathcal{F}} : H^3\left(Y_1^*(\mathfrak{N})_{\mathcal{O}_{K,S}}, \mathrm{TSym}^{[k,k']}\mathscr{H}_R(\mathcal{A})(2-j)\right) \to H^1\left(\mathcal{O}_{K,S}, M_{L_v}(\mathcal{F})^*(-j)\right)$$

factors through $H^1\left(\mathcal{O}_{K,S}, M_R(\mathcal{F})^*(-j)\right)$.

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{m}$ denote the maximal ideal of the Hecke algebra of level $U_1(\mathfrak{N})$ (with R coefficients) corresponding to $\mathcal{F}$. Our conditions on v imply that $\mathfrak{m}$ satisfies the condition $(\mathbf{LI}_{\mathrm{Ind}\,\overline{\rho}})$ of [Dim05], so by Theorem 0.3(ii) of [Dim05], the localisation of the cohomology of $Y_1(\mathfrak{N})$ at $\mathfrak{m}$ vanishes outside the middle degree; thus the projection map $\mathrm{pr}_{\mathcal{F}}$ is defined over R. □

We now define an appropriate Selmer group. We define $A = M_{L_v}(\mathcal{F})(1) \otimes \mathbf{Q}_p/\mathbf{Z}_p$; and we let $\mathrm{Fil}^{\mathfrak{p}} A$ be the submodule of A (of corank 2) dual to the kernel of $\mathrm{Fil}^1 M_R(\mathcal{F})^* \to M_{\mathfrak{p}}$, where $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is the direct summand of $\mathrm{Gr}^1 M_{L_v}(\mathcal{F})^*$ described above.

Definition 9.5.2. *We set*

$$\mathrm{Sel}^{(\mathfrak{p})}(\mathbf{Q}(\mu_{p^\infty}), A) = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} x \in H^1(\mathbf{Q}(\mu_{p^\infty}), \mathcal{F}) : \mathrm{loc}_\ell(x) = 0 \text{ for } \ell \neq p, \\ \mathrm{loc}_p(x) \in \mathrm{image}\, H^1(\mathbf{Q}_p(\mu_{p^\infty}), \mathrm{Fil}^{\mathfrak{p}} A) \end{array} \right\}$$

and

$$X^{(\mathfrak{p})}(\mathbf{Q}(\mu_{p^\infty}), \mathcal{F}) = \mathrm{Sel}^{(\mathfrak{p})}(\mathbf{Q}(\mu_{p^\infty}), \mathcal{F})^\vee$$

(where $\vee$ denotes Pontryagin dual).

Theorem 9.5.3. *For any integer $c > 1$ coprime to $6p\mathfrak{N}$, there exists an integer t such that*

$$\mathrm{char}_{\Lambda_\Gamma} X^{(\mathfrak{p})}(\mathbf{Q}(\mu_{p^\infty}), A) \mid \frac{p^t c L^{\mathrm{imp}}_{\mathfrak{p},\mathrm{Asai}}(\mathcal{F})}{\Omega_{\mathfrak{p}}},$$

where $\Omega_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is any basis of $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{cris}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}})$.

Proof. This follows by exactly the same Euler system argument as in [KLZ15b, Theorem 11.6.4]. (Note that the Euler system norm relations are only used for primes ℓ whose Frobenii act on $M_{L_v}(\mathcal{F})^*$ as a conjugate of τ; all such primes ℓ are necessarily inert in F, so the fact that we have not established the norm relations for all primes split in F does not cause any trouble here.) □

References

[Anc15] Giuseppe Ancona, *Décomposition de motifs abéliens*, Manuscripta Math. **146** (2015), 307–328, (link), MR 3312448.. (↑ 10, 11)

[Asa77] Tetsuya Asai, *On certain Dirichlet series associated with Hilbert modular forms and Rankin's method*, Math. Ann. **226** (1977), no. 1, 81–94, (link), MR 0429751.. (↑ 18)

[BDR15] Massimo Bertolini, Henri Darmon, and Victor Rotger, *Beilinson–Flach elements and Euler systems II: the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture for Hasse–Weil–Artin L-functions*, J. Algebraic Geom. **24** (2015), no. 3, 569–604, (link), MR 3344765.. (↑ 3)

[BL84] J.-L. Brylinski and J.-P. Labesse, *Cohomologie d'intersection et fonctions L de certaines variétés de Shimura*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **17** (1984), no. 3, 361–412, (link), MR 777375.. (↑ 16)

[DR14] Henri Darmon and Victor Rotger, *Diagonal cycles and Euler systems I: a p-adic Gross–Zagier formula*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **47** (2014), no. 4, 779–832, MR 3250064.. (↑ 2)

[DM91] Christopher Deninger and Jacob Murre, *Motivic decomposition of abelian schemes and the Fourier transform*, J. reine angew. Math. **422** (1991), 201–219, (link), MR 1133323.. (↑ 8, 9)

[Dim05] Mladen Dimitrov, *Galois representations modulo p and cohomology of Hilbert modular varieties*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **38** (2005), no. 4, 505–551, (link), MR 2172950.. (↑ 3, 38)

[Dim09] ———, *On Ihara's lemma for Hilbert modular varieties*, Compos. Math. **145** (2009), no. 5, 1114–1146, (link), MR 2551991.. (↑ 5)

[Kat04] Kazuya Kato, *P-adic Hodge theory and values of zeta functions of modular forms*, Astérisque **295** (2004), ix, 117–290, Cohomologies p-adiques et applications arithmétiques. III, MR 2104361.. (↑ 7)

[Kin98] Guido Kings, *Higher regulators, Hilbert modular surfaces, and special values of L-functions*, Duke Math. J. **92** (1998), no. 1, 61–127, (link), MR 1609325.. (↑ 8, 17)

[Kin13] ———, *Eisenstein classes, elliptic Soulé elements and the ℓ-adic elliptic polylogarithm*, preprint, 2013, (link). (↑ 23)

[KLZ15a] Guido Kings, David Loeffler, and Sarah Livia Zerbes, *Rankin–Eisenstein classes for modular forms*, preprint, 2015, (link). (↑ 2, 8, 10, 12, 19, 21, 26, 29)

[KLZ15b] ———, *Rankin–Eisenstein classes and explicit reciprocity laws*, preprint, 2015, (link). (↑ 2, 3, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 39)

[LLZ14] Antonio Lei, David Loeffler, and Sarah Livia Zerbes, *Euler systems for Rankin–Selberg convolutions of modular forms*, Ann. of Math. (2) **180** (2014), no. 2, 653–771, (link), MR 3224721.. (↑ 2, 14, 19, 26, 34)

[LLZ15] ———, *Euler systems for modular forms over imaginary quadratic fields*, Compos. Math. **151** (2015), no. 9, 1585–1625, (link), MR 3406438.. (↑ 30)

[Liu15] Yifeng Liu, *Hirzebruch-zagier cycles and twisted triple product selmer groups*, to appear in Inventiones Math., 2015. (↑ 2)

[Loe16] David Loeffler, *Images of adelic Galois representations for modular forms*, Glasgow J. Math. (2016), to appear, (link). (↑ 36)

[LSZ16] David Loeffler, Christopher Skinner, and Sarah Livia Zerbes, *Syntomic regulators of asai–flach classes*, preprint, 2016. (↑ 3)

[MVW06] Carlo Mazza, Vladimir Voevodsky, and Charles Weibel, *Lecture notes on motivic cohomology*, Clay Mathematics Monographs, vol. 2, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2006. MR 2242284. (↑ 10)

[Nek16] Jan Nekovář, *Eichler–Shimura relations and semi-simplicity of etale cohomology of quaternionic Shimura varieties*, preprint, 2016, (link). (↑ 16, 36)

[NN13] Jan Nekovář and Wiesława Nizioł, *Syntomic cohomology and p-adic regulators for varieties over p-adic fields*, Algebra & Number Theory (to appear) (2013), (link). (↑ 34)

[Rub00] Karl Rubin, *Euler systems*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, vol. 147, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 2000. MR 1749177. (↑ 37)

(Lei) Département de mathématiques et de statistique, Pavillon Alexandre-Vachon, Université Laval, Québec, QC, Canada G1V 0A6

E-mail address: antonio.lei@mat.ulaval.ca

(Loeffler) Mathematics Institute, Zeeman Building, University of Warwick, Coventry CV4 7AL, UK

E-mail address: d.a.loeffler@warwick.ac.uk

(Zerbes) Department of Mathematics, University College London, Gower Street, London WC1E 6BT, UK

E-mail address: s.zerbes@ucl.ac.uk